Sediment transport by liquid surficial flow: Application to Titan
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Abstract

Sediment transport by surficial flow likely occurs on Titan. Titan is thought to have a volatile cycle, such as on Earth and likely in the past on Mars, which would entail surficial liquid flow. And surficial flow is implied in interpretations of Cassini–Huygens data as showing fluvial channels, which would require sediment transport by surficial flow to form the observable features. We present calculations from basic hydraulic formulae of sediment entrainment and transport by surficial flow. First, we describe the conditions for (non-cohesive) sediment entrainment by grain size through use of the Shields’ threshold curve. We then calculate settling velocities by grain size to describe the type of sediment transport—washload, suspended load, or bedload—that would follow entrainment. These calculations allow derivation of required flow depths for sediment transport by grain size over a given slope. A technique to estimate required flow velocities and unit discharges is also presented. We show the results of these calculations for organic and water ice sediment movement by liquid methane flow under Titan gravity. For comparative purposes, plots for movement of quartz sediment by water on Earth and basalt sediment by water on Mars are also included. These results indicate that (non-cohesive) material would move more easily on Titan than on Earth or Mars. Terrestrial field observations suggest that coarse grain transport is enhanced by hyperconcentration of fine-grained sediment; and the apparent availability of organic (fine grained) sediment on Titan, in conjunction with the possibility of convection-driven rainstorms, may lead to hyperconcentrated flows. Thus, significant sediment transport may occur on Titan during individual overland flow events.
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1. Introduction

Earth, Mars, and Titan are the three bodies in the Solar System currently inferred to show evidence for surficial liquid flow during volatile cycling. On Earth, this evidence appears as river channels and many other features in which liquid flow is active today. On Mars, similar evidence is visible as valley networks, intercrater deltas, and other possible aqueous flow features indicative of a past volatile cycle (e.g., Baker, 2001). On Titan, recent Cassini–Huygens results show dark, dendritic, fluvial networks on bright, elevated surfaces (Porco et al., 2005; Elachi et al., 2005; Soderblom et al., 2005; Tomasko et al., 2005).

Volatiles cycling and associated surficial flow on Earth or Mars involve or likely involved water. A volatile cycle on Titan likely involves hydrocarbons. At Titan’s surface temperature (94 K) and pressure (1.44 bar), methane and ethane would be liquid (summarized in Lorenz et al., 2003). This fact has led to suggestions of hydrocarbon rains, lakes or seas, and possibly fluvial systems (Lunine et al., 1983; Ori et al., 1998). Ethane may be present in surficial bodies of water, but it is likely too involatile to be rapidly recycled by evaporation into Titan’s atmosphere. Consequently, possible rainfall and rivers would be due to cycling of methane only (Lorenz and Lunine, 2005). Methane was first detected remotely in Titan’s troposphere by Kuiper (1944). Samuelson et al. (1997) analyzed Voyager spec-
tra, indicating a near-surface methane mole fraction of ~6% at low latitude, falling near-symmetrically to 2–3% at 60° latitude. Lemmon et al. (2002) suggested a low-latitude near-surface mole fraction of 3.8% using spatially-resolved near-infrared spectra acquired by the Hubble Space Telescope in 1997. The Huygens probe measured near-surface methane abundance at its low-latitude landing site of ~5% by mass spectrometry (Niemann et al., 2005).

The expected liquid hydrocarbon seas are not apparent in the Cassini–Huygens optical or radar images acquired to date of Titan’s surface (Turtle et al., 2005), but some features in these images are suggestive of fluvial systems (Elachi et al., 2005; Porco et al., 2005; Tomasko et al., 2005). Sediment entrainment, transport, and deposition by fluvial systems may have caused surficial modification over Titan’s history, possibly accounting in part for the near absence of impact craters on Titan’s surface (Porco et al., 2005). Fluvial systems on Earth entail overland flow in the interfluve areas and then channelized flow in the channels. Sediment entrained and transported by surficial flow on Earth and Mars is silicate material derived from the planets’ crusts. Sediment on Titan is likely to be of two other types of material. One type would be water ice, derived from Titan’s water ice outer crust (Stevenson, 1992; Lorenz and Lunine, 1996; Griffith et al., 2003). A second type would be organic material, which settles from the atmosphere after formation by photochemical reactions of hydrocarbons (Khare et al., 1978, 1984; Tran et al., 2003; Lorenz and Lunine, 2005).

Here we present calculations of sediment entrainment and transport by surficial flow. Hydraulic formulae are applied to estimate entrainment thresholds and settling velocities as a function of sediment grain size. The settling velocity estimates are then used to determine threshold frictional shear velocities for various types of transport. These calculations allow derivation of required depths of surficial flow for a given slope and sediment size. A technique to estimate mean flow velocities and unit discharges is also presented. We show results of these calculations for organic and water ice sediment entrainment and transport in liquid methane flow under Titan gravity. The results of most of these calculations are also presented for quartz sediment in water on Earth and basalt sediment in water on Mars to allow for comparison among the three bodies. The accuracy of these calculations depends upon the accuracy of the input parameter values refinement of values for materials on Titan will refine these results.

This paper addresses sediment entrainment and transport. Fluvial incision is addressed elsewhere (Soderblom et al., 2005; Collins, 2005).

2. Entrainment

Entrainment is the point at which the force or stress from a flowing fluid is sufficiently great as to move stationary sediment from the bed into transport. This point, also referred to as the threshold of sediment motion, is affected by numerous variables, including sediment size, shape, orientation, sorting and packing, fluid density and viscosity, and flow conditions.

Because of this complexity and the seeming randomness of flow processes, the conditions for sediment entrainment are best treated as a stochastic process that is not understood deterministically but has been quantified empirically. This was first accomplished by Shields (1936), who showed a narrow range of threshold stresses necessary to entrain sediment of a given size. Subsequent workers have, for convenience, represented this narrow range of threshold stresses as a single line. Miller et al. (1977), for example, in reviewing available data for entrainment conditions under unidirectional flow, drew a single line for the entrainment threshold, and also extended the grain size range for which the Shields’ curve is applicable. This line was subsequently used as the threshold curve for extraterrestrial (martian) sediment transport (Komar, 1980).

The Shield’s curve is non-dimensional and applicable to a wide variety of flow circumstances, including extraterrestrial fluid flow (Miller and Komar, 1977). Shields (1936) combined the parameters of interest into a dimensionless threshold shear stress, θt, and the dimensionless boundary Reynolds number, Re*. Reynolds number, Re, defines the laminar or turbulent character of the large scale flow. Boundary Reynolds number, Re*, refers specifically to the liquid motion over the bed and describes the character of the flow at the liquid-solid interface. It can be stated as

\[ Re* = \frac{u_* d}{\nu} = \frac{u_* \rho d}{\eta}, \]  

where \( u_* \) is the frictional shear velocity, \( d \) is the particle diameter (here, a proxy for roughness element height), \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity \([L^2/T]\), \( \rho \) is the density of the liquid, and \( \eta \) is the dynamic viscosity \([M/LT]\), equal to \( \rho \nu \). The dimensionless threshold shear stress for entrainment (the “Shields’ criterion”) is given by

\[ \theta_t = \frac{\tau_t}{(\sigma - \rho)gd} = \frac{\rho u_*^2}{(\sigma - \rho)gd}. \]

where \( \tau_t \) is the threshold shear stress exerted by the liquid flow, \( \sigma \) is the density of the sediment, and \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity.

Paphitis (2001) reviewed data from 36 investigations to derive an analytical expression for the Shields curve:

\[ \theta_t = \frac{0.188}{1 + Re*} + 0.0475(1 - 0.699e^{-0.015Re*}). \]

The entrainment data used in deriving this expression extend up to \( Re* \approx 10^5 \); the correlation coefficient between this expression and the data is 0.813 (Paphitis, 2001). A plot of the Shields’ curve derived from Eq. (3) is shown in Fig. 1. Higher entrainment thresholds at small particle sizes are due to hiding, cohesion among clay particles, and other factors. Higher entrainment thresholds at larger particle sizes are due to mass (weight). The values for the parameters used in this and subsequent calculations are found in Table 1. The Shields curves derived from each set of values, both terrestrial and extraterrestrial, are identical.

Both the dimensionless threshold shear stress, \( \theta_t \), and the dimensionless boundary Reynolds number, \( Re* \), relate to the fluid
and sediment properties and can be stated in terms of $u_*$ and $d$ (Eqs. (1) and (2)). Thus, for a given fluid and sediment, Eq. (3) can be solved for $u_*$ for a range of diameters. That solution provides the minimum shear stress required to entrain sediment in terms of (dimensional) grain size, and is shown as the threshold curves between no motion and bedload in Figs. 3 and 4.

3. Transport

Once entrained, sediment may be transported by flowing liquid in three ways. Coarse sediment moves as ‘bedload’ by sliding, rolling, or saltating on the bed. Finer sediment moves as ‘suspended load,’ concentrated near the bed but found throughout the liquid column due to vertical currents in the flow. ‘Washload,’ composed of the finest sediment, is uniformly distributed in the liquid column above the bed. Rouse (1937) and subsequent workers (e.g., Bagnold, 1966) have distinguished these three categories by rationing the settling velocity of the particle (its rate of fall under quiescent conditions) to the flow frictional shear velocity (a proxy for the turbulence acting to suspend particles):

$$ k = \frac{w_s}{u_*}. \tag{4} $$

where $k$ is the threshold ratio for distinguishing between transport categories, and $w_s$ is the settling velocity.

Values for the two thresholds—between bedload and suspended load, and between suspended load and washload—have been estimated from experiments. Studies place the value of $k$ for the bedload to suspended load transition at 1.00–1.79 (summarized by Komar, 1980). The variation is due both to differences in threshold criteria used by different observers and to the somewhat arbitrary nature of drawing a sharp boundary in a continuum between transport classes (e.g., grains in bedload transport begin to saltate increasingly long distances before transitioning to suspension). The $k$ values for the suspended to washload transition have generally been given less attention, and the physical processes responsible for holding material in washload (e.g., ‘autosuspension’; Bagnold, 1962; Nordin, 1963) are less well understood. Consequently, a literature review finds these $k$ values to have a slightly wider range proportionately—from 0.05 to 0.13—that for the bedload-suspended load threshold (summarized by Komar, 1980). Despite the artificiality of drawing a single boundary for a continuum process, reported values for each threshold fall within a factor of 2–3 of one another, and the ranges of values for the two different thresholds are quite distinct. For this work, 1.25 is used as the threshold value between bedload and suspended load (after Komar, 1980) and 0.075 is used as the $k$ value between suspended and washload.

Calculating settling velocities of sediment in liquid is accomplished using empirical fits to settling velocity data, as presented first by Turton and Clark (1987) and re-evaluated for non-Newtonian flows by Kelessidis (2004). These data cover the entire laminar regime for the particle, i.e., where particle Reynolds number, $Re_p$, is less than $\sim 10^5$ (Graf, 1971; Turcotte and Schubert, 1982, pp. 266–267). In the same way that boundary Reynolds number, $Re_*$, describes flow conditions at the bed, particle Reynolds number, $Re_p$, describes flow conditions around a settling particle. It is given by

$$ Re_p = \frac{w_s \rho d}{\eta}. \tag{5} $$

The Stokes law of settling gives the particle settling velocity as a function of particle and fluid properties and gravity, namely:

$$ w_s = \frac{d^2 g(\sigma - \rho)}{18 \eta}. \tag{6} $$

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Values used for comparative modeling of sediment entrainment and transport by liquid overland flow on Earth, Mars, and Titan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma =$ particle density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho =$ fluid density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta =$ dynamic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Titan fluid density and viscosity values taken from Lorenz et al. (2003); organic density from Khare et al. (1994), but values down to $\sim$400 kg/m$^3$ (e.g., Bott, 1986) are possible.
Strictly, the Stokes equation is applicable only the lowest range of the laminar regime, where \( \text{Re}_p < 1 \); therefore, its use by Burr et al. (2005) to calculate settling velocities for the entire laminar regime for the particle was a simplification and is superseded by this more comprehensive work.

Dimensionless diameter and settling velocity for spherical particles are defined by

\[
d_s = d \left[ \frac{g \rho (\sigma - \rho)}{\eta^2} \right]^{1/3}
\]

and

\[
w_s = w_s \left[ \frac{\rho^2}{g (\sigma - \rho)} \right]^{1/3},
\]

respectively. Using Stokes law (6) for the low Reynolds number range and a constant drag coefficient for the high Reynolds number range (see, e.g., Turcotte and Schubert, 1982, Fig. 6-32), these dimensionless quantities can be related by the expression

\[
w_s = \left[ \frac{18}{\kappa_2} \right]^{K_2} \left[ 3K_1 \right]^{K_2/2} \left[ \frac{3K_1}{4d_s} \right]^{K_2/3} \left[ \frac{4d_s}{d} \right]^{K_2/3} \left[ \frac{g (\sigma - \rho)}{\eta^2} \right]^{1/3},
\]

where \( K_1 = 0.428 \) and \( K_2 = 0.824 \) were determined empirically (Turton and Clark, 1987; Kelessidis, 2004). For a given particle size, these equations can be evaluated to find the settling velocity, \( w_s \), for \( \text{Re}_p \leq 10^5 \) (that is, within the laminar regime). These results are presented in Fig. 2. The terrestrial and martian curves shown on Fig. 2 adequately reproduce the terrestrial and martian curves of Komar (1980, his Fig. 1), which were derived from a graphical presentation of drag coefficients. Equation (9) is valid to a maximum grain size as determined for \( \text{Re}_p \sim 10^3 \) and the relation between \( w_s \) and \( d \) given in Eqs. (7)–(9). On Earth and Mars, this maximum grain size equals 100 mm; on Titan, it equals \( \sim 44 \) mm (Fig. 2). For minimum grain sizes, the provided plots encompass clay-sized material. However, particle cohesion, flocculation, and other interactions among clay-sized particles have a strong influence on entrainment and transport of terrestrial clayey sediments. The cohesion and other possible interactions of Titan organic material are unknown and require further investigation.

Using Eq. (4), the settling, or terminal, velocity may then be divided by the two threshold ratio values, \( k \), for bed-suspended load and suspended-wash load to find the frictional shear velocities that distinguish among the three transport regimes as a function of sediment size. The results of these calculations are presented in Fig. 3. Also included in Fig. 3 are the bedload threshold curves calculated as described in Section 2. The intersection of the bedload threshold (or entrainment) curves with the suspended load or wash load curves indicates that the material, when entrained, moves directly into suspended load or washload transport.

Some observations may be made from these plots. Both the settling velocity curves and the frictional velocity curves show a bend near a grain diameter of \( \sim 0.4 \) mm, where the more steeply sloping lower portion flattens into a more shallowly sloping upper portion (Figs. 2 and 3). This bend marks the transition from Stokes flow (lower portion) to non-Stokes flow (upper portion). These two types of laminar flow are expressed in the first and second terms of Eq. (9) (Turton and Clark, 1987; Kelessidis, 2004). Setting the second term of Eq. (9) equal to zero and solving for the settling velocity gives the Stokes equation ( Eq. (6)). In the Stokes regime, settling velocity is proportional to the square of the grain diameter and to the gravitational acceleration and inversely proportional to viscosity (‘viscous resistance dominates’). Setting the first term of Eq. (8) equal to zero and solving for the settling velocity gives

\[
w_s = \left[ \frac{4d_s}{3K_1} \right]^{1/2} \frac{g (\sigma - \rho)}{\rho}.
\]
This shows that in the non-Stokes regime, settling velocity is proportional to the square root of both the grain diameter and the gravitational acceleration, but that viscosity is not a factor in this regime (‘inertial forces dominate’). These and other differences between the equations for Stokes and non-Stokes flow (Eqs. (6) and (10)) produce the two different slopes of the settling and frictional velocity curves.

A second observation that can be made from the frictional shear velocity curves is the convergence of the washload threshold curves for basaltic transport in water on Mars and organic sediment transport in liquid methane on Titan (Fig. 3). This convergence near ∼0.070 mm shows that the combination of parameters in Eq. (8) produces very similar values for these two situations. Very fine or finer basaltic sand in water on Mars should behave like similarly-sized organic sediment in liquid methane on Titan.

Fig. 3 also shows that for a given frictional shear velocity, similar or larger grains can be transported by liquid flow on Titan than on Mars or on Earth. Or, stated conversely, slower flow is required to transport material on Titan than on Mars or Earth. These calculations apply only to the ability of the liquid to transport sediment of a given size (the ‘competence’); the actual transport may be limited by the availability of sediment and the amount of liquid flow.

According to these calculations, water ice sediment is transported more easily than organic sediment on Titan. This is a result of the density that we have used for organic material (1500 kg/m³; Khare et al., 1994; Moroz et al., 1998; Imanaka et al., in preparation) being higher than that of water ice. However, the density of organics on Titan is uncertain. Particles settling from the atmosphere could have densities as low as ∼400 kg/m³ (Bott, 1986), lower than expected densities of surface liquid hydrocarbons (Lunine, 1993). In this case, the particles would float, an explanation suggested for the lack of detection of liquid hydrocarbons by the Cassini Titan Radar Mapper (Elachi et al., 2005). If organic particles float on Titan liquid hydrocarbons, these calculations for organic sediment transport would be irrelevant. Less extreme values of organic material density, if still greater than the density of liquid methane but less than that of water ice, would shift the organic curves below the water ice curves in Fig. 3.

4. Overland flow depths and possible enhancement of sediment transport

For uniform or near-uniform flow, the relation between frictional shear velocity and flow depth is given by

\[ u_s = \sqrt{ghS} \]

where \( h \) is the flow depth and \( S \) is the bed slope. In conjunction with Eq. (4), this relationship can be used to calculate the minimum flow depth necessary to obtain shear velocities required to transport sediment as bedload, suspended load, and washload. This approach can then be used to estimate flow depths required to transport sediment of a given size over slopes of a known value.

Fig. 4 shows sample results for a range of conditions and slopes. Fig. 4a indicates that for all three bodies, 10-µm-sized sediment (if non-cohesive) is small enough that virtually any flow will entrain it. Fig. 4b gives an idea of the variation in threshold curves induced by variation in slope. Fig. 4a indicates that less liquid is required to transport material of a given grain size on Titan than on either Earth or Mars. If significant organic material precipitates onto the surface (Khare et al., 1978, 1994; Lunine, 1993), it may further enhance sediment transport on Titan. Entrainment of more than 40% sediment by weight converts a fluvial flow into a hyperconcentrated flow, such as often occurs in the American southwest.
during convection-driven rainstorms (Beverage and Culbertson, 1964). Hyperconcentration due to entrainment of finer grained sediment reduces the settling velocities of coarser grained sediment. This reduction in settling velocity results from the increases in both effective viscosity of the flowing material and effective buoyancy of the particle (Beverage and Culbertson, 1964). The increased effective viscosity of hyperconcentrated flow may reduce the turbulence tending to keep particles in suspension, but this effect is thought to be less significant than the decrease in settling velocity (see, e.g., Beverage and Culbertson, 1964, pp. 126–128). As a result, coarser material which in clear liquid flow would move as bedload can in hyperconcentrated flow move as suspended load or washload (Nordin, 1963; Komar, 1980). In comparison to bedload, suspended load and washload both move quickly, i.e., near or at the rate of the overland liquid flow. So availability of finer-grained sediment tends to increase the rate of coarser grained sediment transport. If organic (i.e., fine grained) material (Elachi et al., 2005) combine with possible methane rainstoms (Lorenz et al., 2005) to produce hyperconcentrated flows on Titan, the transport of coarser, water ice sediment would be enhanced. For that reason, these calculations for water ice sediment transport on Titan are considered conservative: methane rainstoms on organic-laden surfaces may produce hyperconcentrated flow, leading to more coarse-grained sediment transport than estimated with these clear-liquid flow calculations.

5. Minimum flow velocity and unit discharge required to transport sediment

To convert frictional shear velocities into true flow velocities, a measure of the resistance of the bed on the flowing liquid is required. Some frictional coefficients, such as Manning’s n or Chezy’s C, are dimensional and non-physical—for example, Manning’s n has dimensions of $T/L^{1/3}$ — and they implicitly account for (terrestrial) gravity. Thus their application to extraterrestrial flow has been somewhat ad hoc (see Wilson et al., 2004, for discussion).

As first discussed by Komar (1979), the Darcy–Weisbach equation explicitly accounts for gravity and uses a non-dimensional frictional coefficient, $f_c$, Wilson et al. (2004) summarized and simplified the work of Bathurst (1993) in presenting Darcy–Weisbach formulae for channelized flow under martian conditions. Though derived from and previously applied to water, these formulae are held to be applicable to ‘any low viscosity liquid’ (Wilson et al., 2004). Formulae 13 and 14 from Wilson et al. (2004) provide estimates of the Darcy–Weisbach frictional coefficient for flow over a sand bed and a gravel bed, respectively,

$$\left(\frac{8}{f_c}\right)^{1/2} = 8.46\frac{R}{D_{50}}^{0.1005} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{8}{f_c}\right)^{1/2} = 5.75 \log_{10}\frac{R}{D_{85}} + 3.514, \tag{13}$$

where $R$ is the hydraulic radius, which for natural, broad terrestrial channels can be approximated by the channel depth, and $D_{50}$ and $D_{85}$ are the bed clast size for which 50% and 84% of the clasts are finer, respectively. (According to the modified Wentworth scale, sand size sediment ranges for 0.0625–2 mm; gravel size sediment ranges from 2–265 mm.) As their incorporation into these frictional coefficients indicates, these clast sizes are determinants for the amount of flow resistance exerted by the bed; they are not necessarily the size of material in transport, which of course is dependent on flow conditions.

The quantity $f_c/8$ is equivalent to the generic dimensionless friction coefficient, $C$, of Komar, 1979 (p. 178) that relates $u_s$ to $u_{ave}$, the average true flow velocity (Komar, 1980; compare his Eq. (6) to his Eq. (8) to see that the exponent in Eq. (8) is missing a negative sign). From that presentation, the quantity $(8/f_c)^{1/2}$ can be seen to be a direct proportionality constant between $u_s$ and $u_{ave}$:

$$u_{ave} = \left(\frac{8}{f_c}\right)^{1/2}u_s. \tag{14}$$

For a sand bed river with a $D_{50}$ of 0.0625 mm, $f_c$ is $\sim$0.01 and the quantity $(8/f_c)^{1/2}$ is $\sim$28.2. For a gravel bed river with a $D_{84}$ of 256 mm, $f_c$ is $\sim$0.05 and the quantity $(8/f_c)^{1/2}$ is $\sim$12.7. These example sediment sizes are chosen to maximize the difference between the resultant values. As these examples show, the differences in results are still within a factor of a few. Fig. 3 gives an ordinate axis scaled by a factor of 12.7 for $u_{ave}$ values derived from $u_s$ values for the gravel bed example with $D_{84} = 256$ mm. Because these $u_s$ values are thresholds for transport, the example associated $u_{ave}$ values as indicated by the right ordinate axis are also minima for the designated categories of transport.

The flow velocity can be used in conjunction with the flow depth to estimate the mass flow rate per unit area, or unit discharge $[L^2/T]$, required to transport sediment. A rearrangement of Eq. (11) to solve for depth shows that $h = (u_s)^2/gS$. Replacing $u_s$ with the equivalent expression using $u_{ave}$ gives

$$h = \frac{u_{ave}^3(f_c/8)}{gS}. \tag{15}$$

Unit discharge is the product of flow velocity and depth, such that

$$u_{ave}h = \frac{u_{ave}^3(f_c/8)}{gS} = \left(\frac{u_{ave}^3(8/f_c)^{1/2}}{gS}\right). \tag{16}$$

The results of this calculation vary with varying estimates of $f_c$ (which varies depending on the type of bed, sand or gravel, and the grain size distribution, as represented by the values for $D_{50}$ and/or $D_{84}$). For the two extreme cases given above, i.e., a sand bed where $D_{50} = 0.0625$ mm and a gravel bed where $D_{85} = 256$ mm, the results are plotted in Fig. 5.

Refinement of these calculations with Cassini–Huygens data from Titan or from other work will improve them, and illustrate their full utility. Data from Cassini’s Titan Radar Mapper (Elachi et al., 2005) will provide slope values to determine if the simplifications presented by Wilson et al. (2004) and used here, including the assumption of slopes between 0.001 and 0.003, are appropriate for use on Titan. Sediment size distributions from analysis of Cassini–Huygens data (e.g., Tomasko et al., 2005) will allow assessment of whether a sand or gravel bed equation is appropriate as well as estimation of $D_{50}$ and/or
suggests that hyperconcentrated flows may be common, leading to enhanced transport of coarser grained (water ice) sediment. In comparison to Earth and Mars, greater sediment transport may occur on Titan during individual, hyperconcentrated flow events. Sediment movement could thus be a factor in Titan resurfacing and the lack of apparent craters (Porco et al., 2005).
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