Diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere


1. Introduction

Titan, the largest moon of Saturn, has a substantial ionosphere that was first detected on the basis of the dual-frequency Doppler data obtained with the Voyager 1 radio occultation experiment [Bird et al., 1997]. The discovery was later confirmed by in-situ measurements of the electron distribution made by the Cassini Radio and Plasma Wave Science (RPWS) instrument [Wahlund et al., 2005] as well as the radio occultation results from the Cassini Radio Science (RSS) instrument [Kliore et al., 2008].

Complementary to the RPWS and RSS investigations of Titan’s ionospheric electrons, in-situ measurements of the abundances of various ion species have been made by the Cassini Ion Neutral Mass Spectrometer (INMS) in the Open Source Ion (OSI) mode [Cravens et al., 2005]. Previous studies based on the INMS ion data revealed that the composition of Titan’s ionosphere is very complex, with 50 ion species above the detection threshold [Cravens et al., 2005, 2006; Vuitton et al., 2006, 2007; Waite et al., 2007]. A typical ion mass spectrum obtained with the INMS shows distinct ion groups that are separated in mass-to-charge ratio (hereafter M/Z) by 12 Da [Cravens et al., 2006; Vuitton et al., 2007]. In addition, analyses of the data obtained with the Ion Beam Spectrometer (IBS) and the Electron Spectrometer (ELS) of the Cassini Plasma Spectrometer (CAPS) also revealed the presence of heavier positive ions with M/Z ~ 130, 170 and 335 [Waite et al., 2007], as well as negative ions in M/Z groups of 10–30, 30–50, 50–80, 80–110, 110–200 and 200+ Da in Titan’s ionosphere [Coates et al., 2007].

The two main ionization sources of Titan’s ionosphere are solar radiation in the EUV (and soft X-rays) and...
energetic particles from Saturn’s magnetosphere, with their relative importances varying with local solar time on Titan as well as the location of the satellite with respect to Saturn’s magnetosphere [e.g., Gan et al., 1992; Keller et al., 1992, 1994; Keller and Cravens, 1994; Roboz and Nagy, 1994; Fox and Yelle, 1997; Nagy and Cravens, 1998; Galand et al., 1999, 2006; Cravens et al., 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008a, 2008b; Ågren et al., 2007, 2009; De La Haye et al., 2008]. Meteoritic ablation may also have some contributions to the ion production near ~700 km [e.g., Ip, 1990; English et al., 1996; Molina-Cuberos et al., 2001], and cosmic ray ionization could be effective down to ~65 km [e.g., López- Moreno et al., 2008]. Comparison of modeling output with a multi-instrumental data set (including the INMS ion and RPWS and CAPS electron data acquired during the first Titan flyby of Cassini, known in project parlance as TA) demonstrates that the solar EUV radiation is the dominant ion source on Titan’s dayside [Galand et al., 2006]. This is further supported by the recent analysis of a large sample of the RPWS data, investigating the dependence of peak altitude and density of the observed electron distribution with solar illumination angle [Ågren et al., 2009]. Photoionization by solar EUV radiation may also be important well beyond the terminator, as a result of the extended nature of Titan’s atmosphere [Müller-Wodarg et al., 2000; Cravens et al., 2004; Ågren et al., 2009]. However, deep in Titan’s nightside (as sampled by the Cassini T5 and T21 flybys), the primary ion source has been proposed to be precipitating electrons from Saturn’s magnetosphere [Cravens et al., 2008b; Ågren et al., 2007, 2009]. Cravens et al. (2008a) also suggested that precipitation of energetic protons and oxygen ions from Saturn’s magnetosphere may be important between 500 and 1000 km, contributing to the ionospheric layer in the region of 500–600 km observed by the RSS experiment [Kliore et al., 2008].

In this paper, we investigate further the source for Titan’s nightside ionosphere, focusing on the observed diurnal variations of different ion species based on a large sample of INMS measurements in the OSI mode covering both the dayside and nightside of Titan. We show that the observed ion densities vary in a regular way that is tightly correlated with chemical lifetime. Electron precipitation and chemical survival of dayside ions, coupled with strong horizontal winds, are both investigated through comparison of models for these sources with the observations. These comparisons show that characteristics of both sources are seen in the data. Details of the INMS observations and data analysis are summarized in section 2. We present our main results in section 3, and discuss possible interpretations of the data in section 4. In section 5, we compare the observed diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere with results from a time-dependent ion chemistry model which also takes into account the effects of superrotating horizontal neutral winds. Finally, we summarize and conclude in section 6.

2. Observations and Data Analysis

Within the four years’ length of the prime Cassini mission, there have been over 40 encounters with Titan. This work is based on the INMS data acquired during 8 of them, for which the measurements in the OSI mode are available (see section 2.2 for details). A complete description of the INMS instrument is given by Waite et al. [2004].

2.1. Determination of Ion Densities

Random and systematic uncertainties in the INMS ion data are well understood. Measurement precision is limited by counting statistics and, in a typical scan, densities greater than 1 cm$^{-3}$ are determined with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of better than 5. In general, the dominant ions are measured with extremely good SNR throughout the altitude range of interest here. Densities are determined from measured count rates using prelaunch sensitivities measured in the laboratory [Waite et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2009]. These are estimated to be accurate to better than 25%. However, there are two potential complications, as addressed below.

First, the angular acceptance of the INMS in the OSI mode is quite narrow with a half width at half maximum (HWHM) of 3° for M/Z = 28, when transmission is optimized at zero angle of attack [Waite et al., 2004]. Thus large tangential ion flows can shift the ambient ions away from optimal sensitivity. For a typical spacecraft velocity of 6 km s$^{-1}$, a tangential flow speed of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$ causes a decrease in sensitivity by 50% [Waite et al., 2004; Cravens et al., 2006]. Because the direction and magnitude of the ion flow are unknown, we do not attempt to derive the ion densities more accurately by correcting for possible ion drift motion. However, it should be born in mind that the ion densities given in this paper may not be reliable above ~1450 km, where transport starts to become significant and large ion flow velocities may occur [e.g., Ma et al., 2006; Cravens et al., 2008b].

Second, the INMS transmission is also affected by spacecraft potential. We assume in our analysis a constant spacecraft potential of −0.5 V. An alternative scheme is to adopt the spacecraft potentials derived from other instruments (e.g., RPWS LP or CAPS IBS). Here we compare the ion densities obtained with the default value of −0.5 V and those obtained with the RPWS spacecraft potential, to see how the derived densities could be affected by the choice of the spacecraft potential. A typical example is illustrated in Figure 1, for the outbound T26 flyby. In the left, we show the density profiles of three example ion species as a function of altitude, with the solid circles representing the densities calculated with the default spacecraft potential of −0.5 V and the open circles representing the densities calculated with the RPWS spacecraft potential. These two choices of the spacecraft potential are also indicated in the right of Figure 1. The identification of the ion species shown in Figure 1 follows the scheme of Vuitton et al. [2007], with the numbers in parenthesis giving their M/Z values. Figure 1 shows that typically, the uncertainties in ion densities associated with spacecraft potential are small, except at high altitudes where the spacecraft potential measured by RPWS deviates significantly from our default value of −0.5 V. It should be born in mind that adopting the RPWS spacecraft potential does not necessarily give more realistic results of the ambient ion densities compared with the default choice of −0.5 V, since the spacecraft potentials at different positions of the Cassini spacecraft may also be different. The above comparison is nevertheless instructive and suggests that the ion densities obtained at relatively low altitudes, typically below ~1300 km, are less dependent on...
spacecraft potential compared with those above. As the main results on the observed diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere presented in this paper primarily rely on the measured ion densities below /C24 1300 km, both the adopted spacecraft potential and possible ion drift are not very likely to influence the main conclusions of this paper in any appreciable way.

[10] Similar to the INMS neutral data [Cui et al., 2009], in a typical ion spectrum there appears to be a broadly distributed background spectrum between /M/Z/ = 10 and 35, especially at low altitudes. We subtract these background signals with an empirical model to improve the density determination of the ambient ions. The adopted empirical background model is analogous to the one used for our previous analysis of the INMS neutral data [Cui et al., 2009]. For a given /M/Z/, the magnitude of background signals is found to depend exponentially on altitude, but show little variation between Titan’s dayside and nightside. There also appears to be a minor bump at /M/Z/ < 10 for a typical ion spectrum, which cannot be accommodated with the empirical background model proposed above. Since the amplitude and shape of this minor bump of background counts are hard to constrain from the ion data, we exclude measurements in channels 1–10 in our analysis, and as a consequence, we will not present in this study the ion abundances of species lighter than C+ (/M/Z/ = 12).

2.2. Sample Selection

[11] For the INMS ion data, different channels are not equally sampled, in the sense that signals in channels 16–17 and 28–29 are typically sampled with a frequency of 2 Hz, whereas for the other channels, the frequency can be as low as ~0.1 Hz. Channels 16–17 and 28–29 are mainly associated with CH4+, CH3+, HCNH+ and C3H5+, with a small contribution from NH2+, NH3+, C2H4+ and N2H+ [Vuitton et al., 2007]. The uneven sampling of different channels indicates that interpolation is required in order to extract an individual ion spectrum at a given altitude from the raw data. Here a common grid of time from closest approach (C/A) defined by channel 40 (mainly associated with HC2NH+) is chosen as the standard for interpolation. With this treatment, the sampling rates for channels 16–17 and 28–29 are degraded.

[12] We include in this work the INMS ion data from 8 flybys, known in project parlance as T5, T17, T26, T32, T36, T37, T39 and T40. The T21 flyby occurred on Titan’s nightside, and has been included in the recent work of Cravens et al. [2008b]. However, we exclude the T21 data from our sample since the corresponding ion density profiles show an abrupt transition near 1120 km by more than an order of magnitude, whereas the same feature is not observed in the associated RPWS electron density profile, known within 10% [A˚gren et al., 2009]. The RPWS spacecraft potential also presents a sharp transition at the same altitude. Considering these, we expect that the ion density profiles obtained from the T21 flyby are not reliable, and the sharp transition near 1120 km is more likely to be associated with instrumental effects rather than realistic features. The INMS ion data from the inbound T32 flyby are also excluded for the same reason. Ion densities on Titan’s dayside could also be derived from the T18 data. However, during this flyby, the RPWS spacecraft potentials are significantly different from our default choice of ~0.5 V,
by roughly a factor of 3–4. Therefore to be conservative, the INMS ion data from T18 are also excluded.

[13] The main characteristics of all flybys in our sample at C/A are detailed in Table 1, including the date of observation, altitude, local solar time, solar zenith angle, latitude, longitude and F10.7 solar flux at 1 AU (i.e., the 10.7 cm solar radio flux at 1 AU in W Hz$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ multiplied by 10$^{22}$). Latitude is defined as northward positive. The longitude definition follows the scheme in which 0° points to Saturn, 270° points to the ideal magnetospheric ram direction, and 90° points to the ideal magnetospheric wake direction. The data sampling covers more than two and a half years, between 16 April 2005 for T5 and 5 January 2008 for T40. Table 1 shows that altitudes at C/A range from 965 km for T32 to 1027 km for T5. The sample covers both Titan’s northern and southern hemispheres, both equatorial and polar regions, as well as both the ideal magnetospheric ramside and wakeside. The F10.7 solar fluxes (at the Earth) are adopted from the daily values reported by the Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory at Penticton, B.C., Canada. The F10.7 value remains fairly constant from half years, between 16 April 2005 for T5 and 5 January 2008 for T40.

Table 1. Summary of the Trajectory Geometry at C/A for all Titan Flybys Used in This Study$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flyby</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>ALT (km)</th>
<th>LST (hr)</th>
<th>SZA (degree)</th>
<th>LAT (degree)</th>
<th>LON (degree)</th>
<th>F10.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>16 Apr 2005</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>23.23</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T17</td>
<td>7 Sep 2006</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T26</td>
<td>10 Mar 2007</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T32</td>
<td>13 Jun 2007</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T37</td>
<td>19 Nov 2007</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T39</td>
<td>20 Dec 2007</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T40</td>
<td>5 Jan 2008</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>14.54</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Altitudes (ALT) are given in km; local solar times (LST) given in hr; solar zenith angles (SZA), latitudes (LAT), and longitudes (LON) given in degrees; F10.7 solar fluxes (at 1 AU) given in units of W Hz$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ multiplied by 10$^{22}$.

...Distribution of solar zenith angle (SZA) for the sample adopted in this study. Different colors represent subsamples from different flybys. The SZA distribution is characterized by three distinct groups, one between 30° and 70° (dayside), one between 70° and 110° (near terminator), and the other one beyond 120° (nightside).
30°–70°, one near-terminator bin at 70°–110°, and one nightside bin beyond 120°. However, in some cases we will distinguish between the morning and evening terminators. We will show that the difference between the dawn and dusk ion density profiles has some interesting implications (see section 4 for details).

3. Results

[16] An example of the ion mass spectrum extracted from the combined INMS data is given in Figure 3, for an altitude of ~1050 km with a bin size of 50 km. The background spectrum has been subtracted, and all measurements obtained on Titan’s dayside (with SZA smaller than 90°) are included and averaged. Some obvious features are immediately seen. First, there is no unique ion species that dominates Titan’s ionosphere, in contrast to the neutral gas distribution for which N₂ has a mixing ratio of over 95% [Waite et al., 2005; Yelle et al., 2006, 2008; Cui et al., 2008, 2009]. The most abundant ion species are HCNH⁺ (7.4 × 10² cm⁻³), followed by C₂H₅⁺ (1.7 × 10² cm⁻³), c-C₃H₃⁺ (1.5 × 10² cm⁻³), HC₃NH⁺ (1.7 × 10² cm⁻³) and C₃H₅⁺ (1.2 × 10² cm⁻³), where all density values refer to an altitude of 1050 km averaged over Titan’s dayside. This is in general agreement with early chemical model predictions [e.g., Keller et al., 1992, 1998; Fox and Yelle, 1997]. The ion spectrum is characterized by seven distinct groups with mass ranges of 10–25, 25–35, 35–45, 45–60, 60–70, 70–85, and beyond 85, respectively. The mean mass spacing between these groups is ~12 Da, based on a linear fitting of the mean ion mass of each group [see also Cravens et al., 2006; Vuitton et al., 2007]. In most cases, the signals in a given channel are primarily contributed by a single ion species, with only a small fraction (typically less than 10%) from other contaminating minor ions as inferred from photochemical model calculations [e.g., Vuitton et al., 2007]. The primary ion species that account for the bulk signals in channels with significant count rates, or with number densities greater than 1 cm⁻³ at ~1050 km, are labeled in Figure 3 for reference. The solid line in Figure 3 gives the dayside ion spectrum at 9.5 hr local solar time, calculated with the time-dependent ion chemistry model described in section 5.

[17] We show in Figure 4 a direct comparison between the ion mass spectra on Titan’s dayside (open circles) and nightside (solid circles), respectively. These spectra are obtained by averaging over the combined INMS data set with SZA in the range of 30°–70° (dayside) or 120°–180° (nightside), both centered at an altitude of 1050 km with a bin size of 50 km. The background signals have been subtracted from the raw spectra (see section 2.1). Figure 4 shows that the densities of most ion species vary significantly with SZA, with the nightside presenting an overall ion depletion. This is consistent with the diurnal variations of electron distribution revealed by the RPWS LP data [A’gren et al., 2009], and confirms the importance of solar radiation as the main ion source for Titan’s dayside ionosphere, though the satellite is subject to a solar radiation flux...
\[100\] times weaker than that at Earth and is, for most of the time, subject to precipitating particles from Saturn’s magnetosphere.

\[18\] We show in Figure 5 the total ion density profiles for different conditions of solar illumination. The INMS ion distributions near the morning and evening terminators are presented separately. However, the profile for the morning terminator (the dashed line in Figure 5) cannot be regarded as vertical since it comes from a single flyby (outbound T32) and the associated variation in SZA is quite large along the spacecraft trajectory. The total ion densities shown in Figure 5 are obtained by summing all ion species over the INMS mass range up to \(M/Z = 99\). We ignore throughout this paper the heavy positive ions with \(M/Z > 100\) since they cannot be detected by the INMS [e.g., Waite et al., 2007; F. Crary et al., Heavy and negative ions at Titan measured by Cassini CAPS, paper presented at 37th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Montreal, Canada, 13–20 Jul., 2008; J.-E. Wahlund et al., On the amount of heavy molecular ions in Titan’s ionosphere, submitted to Planetary and Space Science, 2009, hereinafter referred to as Wahlund et al., submitted manuscript, 2009]. Though these ions may contribute to a nonnegligible fraction of the total ion content (e.g., Wahlund et al., submitted manuscript, 2009), the main conclusions in this paper, which are based on the observations of individual ion species, are not affected by such a biased sampling.

\[19\] The INMS ion distribution on Titan’s dayside shows a well-defined ionization peak at \(\sim1120\) km, with a peak density of \(\sim2.4 \times 10^3\) cm\(^{-3}\) (averaged over the SZA range of \(30^\circ–70^\circ\)). A similar structure is observed near both the morning and evening terminators, as a result of the large extension of Titan’s atmosphere [Mueller-Wodarg et al., 2000; Cravens et al., 2004; Agren et al., 2009]. The INMS

---

**Figure 4.** Observed ion mass spectra averaged between 1025 and 1075 km, with the dayside spectrum (SZA between \(30^\circ\) and \(70^\circ\)) and nightside spectrum (SZA beyond \(120^\circ\)) displayed with open and solid circles, respectively. Figure 4 shows clear diurnal variation of Titan’s ionosphere, with a general trend of ion depletion on the nightside. However, such a diurnal variation is more prominent for light ions than for heavy ones.

**Figure 5.** Observed total ion density profiles in Titan’s ionosphere for different conditions of solar illumination. The dayside ion distribution shows a well-defined ionization peak. A similar feature is observed near both the morning and evening terminators, with the ion densities at dawn significantly lower than at dusk. The nightside distribution becomes quite flat below \(\sim1300\) km.
ion distribution near the evening terminator peaks at roughly the same altitude as on the dayside, but with a reduced peak ion density of \( \approx 1.7 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) (from the inbound T36 and part of the inbound T37 data). The ionization peak at the morning terminator shifts upward to \( \approx 1170 \text{ km} \), with a peak ion density of \( \approx 1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) (from the outbound T32 data). There is a clear asymmetry between the ion density profiles observed near the morning and evening terminators, in that the ion densities at the morning terminator are significantly lower than those at the evening terminator. Finally, the INMS ion distribution observed far beyond the terminator (with SZA > 120°) becomes quite flat below \( \approx 1300 \text{ km} \), characterized by a density plateau of \( \approx 700 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). There is no clear evidence for any existing ionization peak on the nightside. Similar plateaus are also observed in the nightside electron density profiles measured by RPWS [Agren et al., 2009].

[20] On the basis of the ion spectra shown in Figure 4, it appears that the diurnal variation is most prominent for light ions, and becomes less pronounced for heavy ones. Specifically, the ion content on Titan’s nightside compared with the dayside is on average depleted by a factor of \( \approx 5 \) for the mass group of \( M/Z = 15–25 \), and depleted by a factor of \( \approx 4 \) for the mass group of \( M/Z = 25–35 \). For all heavier groups, the ion densities are depleted on the nightside compared with the dayside by roughly a factor of \( \approx 2 \).

[21] The ionospheric composition can be characterized by the parameter of mean ion mass, weighted by number density. Figure 6 shows the altitude dependence of the calculated mean ion mass for the dayside and nightside of Titan, respectively. Note that the profiles of mean ion mass shown in Figure 6 are biased because of the presence of heavy positive ions with \( M/Z > 100 \) not sampled by the INMS, especially at low altitudes [Waite et al., 2007; Crary et al., presented paper, 2008; Wahlund et al., submitted manuscript, 2009]. Despite this, Figure 6 still provides a qualitatively interesting picture for the diurnal variation of Titan’s ionospheric composition. On both the dayside and nightside, the mean ion mass increases with decreasing altitude, implying a larger contribution from heavy ions at low altitudes. Figure 6 also reveals that the nightside of Titan presents a larger contribution from heavy ions compared with the daytime. More specifically, at \( \approx 1050 \text{ km} \), the contribution of all ions with \( M/Z > 45 \) is \( \approx 27\% \) of the total ion density on the dayside, but increases to \( \approx 43\% \) on the nightside. Such a difference is less obvious at high altitudes, where the ionospheric composition is dominated by light ions for both the dayside and nightside.

[22] To investigate further the diurnal variations of different ion species, we show in Figure 7 (for the dayside) and Figure 8 (for the nightside) the density profiles of various ion groups, as defined by the apparent mass peaks observed in Figures 3 and 4. Figures 7 and 8 suggest that on both the...
dayside and nightside of Titan, the density profiles of light species show well-defined ionization peaks. In contrast, the observed heavy ion profiles do not show clear signatures of ionization peak between 1000 and 1300 km, especially on the nightside. Titan’s dayside ionosphere is dominated by relatively light ions, especially the mass group of $M/Z = 25–35$. As a consequence, the dayside total ion density profile is also characterized by a well-defined ionization peak, as shown by the solid line in Figure 5. At low altitudes, the densities of the light ion species decrease significantly from the dayside to the nightside, shifting the density peak toward higher altitudes. In contrast, the heavy ions show modest diurnal variations and make a significant contribution to the total ion density on the nightside. The combined result is the nightside plateau below 1300 km observed in the total ion density profile (and RPWS electron distribution [Ågren et al., 2009]), as revealed by the dash-dotted line in Figure 5.

The extent of ion depletion on Titan’s nightside is more clearly seen in Figure 9, where we present the density profiles of several representative ion species, including CH$_5^+$, HCNH$^+$, C$_2$H$_5^+$, NH$_4^+$, C$_6$H$_7^+$ and C$_2$H$_3$CNH$^+$. The black and gray circles represent the profiles averaged on Titan’s dayside and nightside, respectively. On one hand, the nightside profiles of the three species in the top show clear density decrement at low altitudes. On the other hand, such a feature is not observed for the three species shown in the bottom of Figure 9, which only present modest depletion at all altitudes. It is also interesting to note that except for NH$_4^+$, all species in the top are lighter than those in the bottom. Therefore although there is a general trend that light ions typically show clear density decrement on the nightside (thus more prominent diurnal variations), whereas the nightside depletion of heavy ions is less pronounced, there are indeed exceptions, such as NH$_4^+$, which belong to light species but present day-to-night differences characteristic of typical heavy ions.

[24] We summarize in Table 2 the observed ion densities averaged on Titan’s dayside (with SZA between 30° and 70°) and nightside (with SZA beyond 120°) at ~1050 km, as well as the corresponding night-to-day density ratios. Only species with dayside densities greater than 1 cm$^{-3}$ are listed. The lifetimes (or loss time constants) of these ions averaged over a Titan day and at an altitude of 1050 km are also given in Table 2. The ionosphere model used to calculate these ion lifetimes is described in section 5. In Figure 10, we present the relation between the observed night-to-day density ratio and the calculated ion lifetime, for all species identified in the INMS ion spectra with dayside density at 1050 km greater than 0.1 cm$^{-3}$. Figure 10 shows a clear correlation between the ion lifetime and the observed night-to-day ratio, demonstrating that the loss time constant is an important factor in interpreting the diurnal variations in ionospheric densities.

[25] The scattering of the data points in Figure 10 is nevertheless relatively large, especially for long-lived ions with lifetimes greater than 100 sec. There are at least two possible interpretations for this. First, the derived lifetimes for some ions may not be accurate, since the reaction rates, especially for the heavy ions, are poorly constrained [Carrasco et al., 2008]. For ions with no existing lab measurement of their reaction rates, we assume their recombination coefficients to be $\alpha(T) = 7 \times 10^{-7}(T/300)^{-0.7}$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. However, recent flowing afterglow studies of the dissociative recombination of several hydrocarbon ions suggest instead a switch in power index from $\sim 0.7$ to $\sim 1.4$ for electron temperatures higher than 300 K [McLain et al., 2004]. This implies that the
actual dissociative recombination rates could be a factor of 2 lower than what we have adopted, and thus the ion lifetimes could be significantly underestimated. However, they do not affect the estimation for light ions since their reaction rates are relatively well constrained from lab measurements. Second, the mass channels associated with some species are likely to be appreciably contaminated by other ions (or isomers). As an example, our ion chemistry model (see section 5 for details) shows that the observed diurnal variation of HC$_5$NH$^+$ is likely to be appreciably contaminated by C$_3$H$_4$$. Both species have $M/Z = 40$ with the latter having a fraction number of ~30% averaged over a Titan day, at an altitude of 1050 km. The associated lifetimes of the two species are very different, estimated to be $1.4 \times 10^7$ sec for HC$_5$NH$^+$ and ~80 sec for C$_3$H$_4$$^+$. 

On the basis of the findings presented above, the characteristics of the diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere can be summarized as follows.

1. The total ion density distribution shows well-defined ionization peak on the dayside of Titan. On the nightside, though there is an overall ion depletion compared with the dayside, the total ion content is still appreciable. The nightside total ion density profile is characterized by a flat distribution at a level of ~700 cm$^{-3}$ below ~1300 km. Such a flat distribution is the combined result of the distinct nightside profiles of light and heavy ion populations.

2. There is a clear asymmetry between the ion density profiles observed near the morning and evening terminators, in that ion densities near the morning terminator are smaller than those near the evening terminator.

3. The observed diurnal variation is most prominent for light ions (e.g., CH$_5^+$, HCNH$^+$, C$_3$H$_3^+$). In contrast, only modest diurnal variation is observed for heavy ones (e.g., C$_3$H$_2$CNH$^+$, C$_4$H$_2^+$).

4. Despite the abovementioned general trend, there are exceptions with some light ions, such as NH$_4^+$, showing day-to-night differences characteristic of typical heavy ones.

5. The observed diurnal variations of different ion species, as quantified by the measured night-to-day ion density ratios, are strongly correlated with the associated chemical lifetimes. Possible interpretations of these observations are presented in section 4.

4. Interpretation: Chemical Survival of Dayside Ions as an Important Source for Titan’s Nightside Ionosphere

The primary characteristics of the diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere are clearly a result of chemical loss of ions as Titan rotates into darkness and ion production initiated by solar illumination is turned off [Vuitton et al., 2007]. Several characteristics seen in the INMS data are consistent with maintenance of the nightside ionosphere by chemical survival of dayside ions.

The scenario of chemical survival of dayside ions is consistent with the correlation between the observed night-to-day ion density ratios and the associated ion lifetimes, as shown in Figure 10. Such a scenario also naturally explains the distinctions between the diurnal variations of light and heavy ions, which are associated with their different chemical loss pathways. The light ions are primarily lost through ion-neutral chemistry whereas the heavy ions are primarily lost through electron dissociative recombination [Vuitton et al., 2007]. In Titan’s upper atmosphere, the typical recombination time constant is significantly larger than the typical chemical time constant for ion-neutral reactions (see Table 2). This is primarily associated with the difference in density between electrons and neutrals [e.g., Vuitton et al., 2007]. As a consequence, when Titan rotates into darkness with photoionization turned off, light ions are not able to survive fast loss through ion-neutral chemistry, and thus are observed to be significantly depleted on the nightside. On the contrary, heavy ions may survive recombination because of their relatively slow loss. The observed diurnal variation of NH$_4^+$ as shown in Figure 9 is also consistent with such a scenario, since NH$_4^+$ is primarily lost through electron
dissociative recombination though it is a relatively light species [Vuitton et al., 2007].

The fact that the observed ion densities are smaller near the morning terminator than near the evening terminator, as shown in Figure 5, supports the hypothesis that the nightside ionosphere is maintained by chemical survival of dayside ions. Ions observed near the evening terminator spend less time in darkness as compared with those near the morning terminator. Therefore the ion content at the evening terminator is expected to be less depleted than that at the morning terminator. However, it should be born in mind that such an observed feature needs to be verified with a larger INMS ion data set, especially because the ion distribution near the morning terminator relies exclusively on the outbound T32 data (see section 3). Above /C24 1100 km, the total ion densities near the morning terminator become higher than those on the nightside. This indicates that at relatively high altitudes, solar illumination starts to be an important ion source even near the terminator, as a result of the extended nature of Titan’s atmosphere [Mueller-Wodarg et al., 2000; Cravens et al., 2004; Agren et al., 2009].

The importance of chemical survival of dayside ions in maintaining the nightside ionosphere depends on the relative time constants for chemistry and transport. A lower limit on the horizontal transport rate comes from Titan’s solid body rotation period of /C24 16 days. This is significantly longer than the ion lifetimes given in Table 2, predicted from our time-dependent ion chemistry model (see section 5). Thus assuming solid body rotation and the lack of any nonsolar ionization sources on the nightside implies that the net ion density on the nightside should be much smaller than the measured value of /C24 700 cm/3. To address this, we discuss below several mechanisms that may affect the ion distribution on the nightside of Titan.

First, the significant ion/electron densities observed on Titan’s nightside have been recognized by previous investigators who assumed that precipitation of energetic electrons from Saturn’s magnetosphere were responsible for the phenomenon [Cravens et al., 2008b; Agren et al., 2009]. Electron precipitation can induce nightside ion spectra with similar characteristics to those observed. As an example, we show with the solid line in Figure 11 an ion spectrum on Titan’s nightside calculated assuming ionization by 100 eV electrons. The calculations are carried out for conditions appropriate to 1050 km in Titan’s atmosphere and densities are calculated assuming steady state conditions. A net ionization rate of 0.03 ions cm/3 s/1 is used in the calculations, roughly 6% of the solar ionization rate at the same altitude for small SZAs. The ion spectrum calculated with a solar ionization rate of 5 ions cm/3 s/1 is given by the dotted line in Figure 11 for comparison. The total ion density implied by the electron precipitation model is /C24 500 cm/3, roughly consistent with the INMS observations on Titan’s nightside. The general shape of the spectrum associated with electron precipitation is also in agreement with that observed in the nightside of Titan. The general shape of the spectrum associated with electron precipitation is also in agreement with that observed in the nightside of Titan.

![Figure 10](image-url) Observed night-to-day density ratio of all species identified in the INMS ion spectra as a function of their chemical lifetimes (or loss time constants). All ion species with observed dayside densities greater than 0.1 cm/3 are included. Figure 10 shows a clear correlation between the two quantities, demonstrating that the loss time constant is the key factor determining the diurnal variations in ionospheric densities.
For this model, the lower electron density implies that the loss rates for the long-lived ions are reduced but the loss rates for the short-lived ions, primarily lost through ion-neutral reactions, is unchanged. It should be noted that the short-lived ions in this electron precipitation model still have significant densities, though greatly reduced from the dayside values. As we show in the next section, time-dependent models for the chemical survival of dayside ions predict far less density for short-lived ions at large solar illumination angles than that observed. The INMS observations of short-lived ions then imply the existence of some level of electron precipitation from Saturn’s magnetosphere.

Second, the actual day-to-night transport timescale depends on the dynamics of Titan’s upper atmosphere, and as mentioned above, Titan’s solid body rotation acts as an upper limit on the transport timescale. The dynamics of Titan’s upper atmosphere are not well constrained, leading to uncertain transport rates. Despite this, it is clear that strong horizontal neutral winds could increase the transport rate and shorten the associated time constant considerably. Such horizontal neutral winds could be driven either by day-to-night temperature difference on Titan or by dynamical coupling with Titan’s superrotating stratosphere [Müller-Wodarg et al., 2000]. Existing Thermospheric Global Circulation Model (TGCM) calculations show that for solar minimum conditions (as suitable for the time when the INMS data were taken), the day-to-night temperature difference in Titan’s upper atmosphere may drive horizontal neutral winds with speed up to 30 m s⁻¹, in a pattern that blows from subsolar to antisolar and gives opposite wind speeds at the morning and evening terminators [Müller-Wodarg et al., 2000]. However, the associated temperature difference of ~10 K between Titan’s dayside and nightside has not been confirmed by the present INMS neutral data [e.g., Müller-Wodarg et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2009] possibly because the limited sampling of the existing INMS data set. On the other hand, the actual wind speed might be significantly larger than that predicted from solar driven models if the dynamics in Titan’s upper atmosphere is coupled with superrotation in the stratosphere [e.g., Flasar et al., 1981; Hubbard et al., 1993; Hourdin et al., 1995; Tokano et al., 1999]. In such cases, the thermospheric wind speed could reach several hundred m s⁻¹ in a circulating prograde pattern [Müller-Wodarg et al., 2000]. The notion of superrotating thermosphere is also supported by the observed latitudinal density variations on Titan [Müller-Wodarg et al., 2008]. In section 5, we show in some more detail how the diurnal variations of different ion species could be affected by the presence of a superrotating thermosphere on Titan. Such an effect is simulated by imposing enhanced solid body rotation rate in our time-dependent ion chemistry model.

In this section, we present the interpretation for Titan’s nightside ionosphere as a remnant of dayside ions. We also discuss the possibility that such an effect could be enhanced significantly by rapid horizontal neutral winds. While we understand that the chemical survival of dayside ions is always at work, at least because of the solid body rotation of Titan, it does not necessarily mean that the conventional scenario of electron precipitation from Saturn’s magnetosphere is no longer valid. In this paper, we consider electron precipitation as well, and we show that the
electron precipitation model can explain some features of the observed diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere. Since the scenario of chemical survival of dayside ions has never been explored for Titan in previous works, we extend our investigation here with some numerical experiments based on a time-dependent ion chemistry model.

5. Time-Dependent Ion Chemistry Model

[39] We show in section 4 that several characteristics of the INMS ion data could be interpreted by the scenario of chemical survival of dayside ions to the nightside. This naturally explains the correlation between the observed night-to-day ion density ratios and the associated chemical time constants (as shown in Figure 10), as well as the observed asymmetry in the ion density profiles near the morning and evening terminators (as shown in Figure 5). In order to investigate this possible explanation, we need to make realistic estimates on chemical lifetimes and transport rates. We therefore constructed a time-dependent ion chemistry model for Titan’s ionosphere to investigate the role of chemistry and transport in maintaining the nightside ionosphere. The results presented in this section cannot be regarded as a rigorous comparison between the model and data. On one hand, this is because the model and data are not identically sampled, and on the other hand, this is also because we do not have sufficient knowledge of the global circulation pattern in Titan’s upper atmosphere, and thus day-to-night transport is only treated in an idealistic way (see below for details). Nevertheless, we show below that the general characteristics of the model results are similar to those seen in the INMS ion data, at least for long-lived ion species.

[40] Our time-dependent ion chemistry model includes a large network of 1641 chemical reactions [Vuitton et al., 2006, 2007, 2009], ionization by solar photons and suprathermal electrons (photoelectrons and their secondaries), and assumes no contribution from magnetospheric electrons. We include the effect of Titan’s rotation to model the diurnal variations of the ionosphere. Such a rotation technique has been implemented recently by De La Haye et al. [2008]. The effect of horizontal winds is modeled in this work with enhanced rotation rate (see below for details). We also take into explicit account vertical transport through ambipolar diffusion, therefore local photochemical equilibrium (PCE) is not a priori assumed in the model. Such vertical diffusion could be important at relatively high altitudes, where the chemical time constants become comparable to or greater than the diffusion timescales [e.g., Ma et al., 2006; Cravens et al., 2008b].

[41] The absorption rate of solar photons is calculated using the EUVAC solar spectrum (scaled to the location of Titan [Richards et al., 1994a, 1994b]) and the photoinization cross sections of N2 and CH4 from Samson et al. [1987, 1989] and Stolte et al. [1998]. Optical depth at any altitude is calculated by numerical integration along the line of sight to the Sun taking full account of the curved nature of the atmosphere, but assuming that the atmosphere can be treated locally as spherically symmetric. Energy degradation by suprathermal electrons is calculated with an energy grid of 200 bins from 0.1 to 10⁴ eV with exponentially increasing bin width. We include all relevant energy loss processes in an N2-CH4 atmosphere (vibrational/rotational excitation, ionization and elastic collision [see Galand et al., 2006, and references therein]). The cross sections for electron impact ionization of N2 and CH4 are taken from Lummerzheim and Liliensten [1994], Davies et al. [1989] and Liu and Shemansky [2006]. In the calculations, we ignore the transport of suprathermal electrons and assume that the energy from electron impact of N2 and CH4 is deposited locally. Comparison with suprathermal electron transport calculations shows that this is an accurate assumption below ~1300 km in terms of secondary electron production rate (M. Galand et al., Energy deposition in Titan’s deep ionosphere, manuscript in preparation). At higher altitudes, direct photoionization dominates and the approximation is still accurate for the purpose of chemical calculations throughout the altitude range considered here (between 1000 and 1500 km).

[42] The model for the neutral atmosphere used in the calculations is based on the work of Müller-Wodarg et al. [2008], Vuitton et al. [2006, 2007] and Cui et al. [2008, 2009]. The temperature profile and N2 and CH4 densities are taken directly from the empirical model of Müller-Wodarg et al. [2008] for a latitude of 56°N. This is also very nearly equal to the average of all INMS measurements in the northern hemisphere and thus represents average conditions for the observations considered here. The minor neutral species are based on the results of Vuitton et al. [2006, 2007] and Cui et al. [2009]. The Vuitton et al. [2006, 2007] analysis was based on a nightside ion spectrum (T5) assuming electron impact ionization, but we find that the neutral densities so derived also provide a good fit to the dayside ion spectrum, as shown by the solid line in Figure 3. We extend these results to full-altitude profiles assuming that the species are distributed in diffusive equilibrium using the eddy diffusion profile of Yelle et al. [2008]. The only exception is H2, which is adopted directly from Cui et al. [2008].

[43] We use the same neutral model atmosphere for all local times in the models presented below. It is likely that there are some variations in atmospheric structure and densities with local time, though we do not yet have solid constraints on this [Cui et al., 2009]. In particular, the neutral densities derived by Vuitton et al. [2007] are based on T5 observations which occurred on the nightside of Titan, where conditions may be different from those on the dayside. Though many of the neutral species are characterized by long chemical time constants and may not exhibit strong day-to-night differences, some variations are still possible. The rationale for not including these variations is that the model results shown here are used in a strictly qualitative way. Given the lack of strong constraints on local time variations in neutral densities [Cui et al., 2009] and the qualitative nature of our goals for the model-data comparison, the complications and uncertainties introduced by an attempt to include diurnal variations in the neutral atmosphere are not warranted at this time.

[44] The model solves the time-dependent, coupled continuity equations of all ions

$$\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \Phi_i) = P_i - L_i N_i,$$
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where \( N_i \) is the ion density, \( r \) is the radius from the center of Titan, \( P_i \) is the chemical production rate, \( L_i \) is the chemical loss rate and the second term on the LHS represents the divergence of the flux, \( \Phi_i \), given by

\[
\Phi_i = -D_i \left[ \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial r} + N_i \left( \frac{1}{T_i} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{H_i} + \frac{T_e}{T_i} \frac{\partial N_e}{\partial r} \right) \right]
\]  

Figure 12. Modeled diurnal variations of electrons and several representative ion species at an altitude of 1050 km. The electron densities are calculated as the total ion densities assuming quasi-neutrality. The variations of long-lived species (\( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{CNH}^+ \)) show clear effects of the chemical time constants with significant extension into the shadowed regions of the atmosphere.
is able to maintain an appreciable ionosphere on the nightside, without taking into account impact ionization by precipitating electrons from Saturn’s magnetosphere. The rapid disappearance of short-lived ions and persistence of long-lived ions, as revealed in Figures 12 and 13, reflect different time behaviors of these species. We can see this with a simple analytical approach. The time dependence of short-lived ions, which are lost primarily through ion-neutral chemistry, can be described by

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = -k_{in}N_N N_i,$$

where $N_i$ and $N_N$ are the ion and neutral densities, and $k_{in}$ is the corresponding reaction rate. $N_N$ is assumed to be constant over time (see above). This gives the solution to $N_i(t)$ as

$$N_i(t) = N_i(t_0) \exp\left(\frac{t - t_0}{t_L}\right),$$

where $t_0$ is the initial time and $t_L = 1/(k_{in} N_N)$ is the loss time constant (through ion-neutral chemistry). This implies that short-lived ions decay exponentially with time. On the other hand, the continuity equation for long-lived ions that are lost through recombination can be written as

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = -\alpha_i N_i^2,$$

where $\alpha_i$ is the recombination coefficient and for illustrative purpose, we assume that the atmosphere is composed of a single ion species with $N_i$ equal to the electron density. The solution to equation (5) is

$$N_i(t) = N_i(t_0) / \left(1 + \frac{t - t_0}{t_L}\right),$$

where $t_L = 1/(\alpha_i N_i(t_0))$ is the loss time constant (through recombination). The different time dependencies shown by equations (4) and (6) imply very different behaviors for loss through ion-neutral chemistry and loss through recombination. The density of ions that recombine with electrons decreases with time far more slowly than for ions that react with neutrals. After several chemical time constants into night, the former population is depleted by a factor of several while the latter disappear exponentially. It follows that the nightside ionosphere is composed primarily of ions that are lost through recombination.

An additional and important effect that contributes to the diurnal variations in Titan’s ionosphere is the production of heavy and long-lived ions well into darkness. As an example, Vuitton et al. [2007] showed that two important ion-neutral chemical pathways that lead to the loss of HCNH$^+$ are HCNH$^+$ + HC$_3$N → HC$_3$NH$^+$ + HCN and HCNH$^+$ + C$_2$H$_3$CN → C$_2$H$_5$CNH$^+$ + HCN. This implies that as Titan rotates into darkness, HC$_3$NH$^+$ and C$_2$H$_5$CNH$^+$ ions are produced at the expense of HCNH$^+$ loss. The ion lifetimes listed in Table 2 are estimated from $t_L = N_i / L_i$ where $t_L$ is the time constant for the process $i$. As pointed out by Cravens et al. [2008b], for the so-called “terminal ions”, the effective lifetimes, which depend on how far down the chemical chain these ions reside, could be significantly longer than...
the values inferred from $N_e/L_e$. The effect of nightside production of heavy ions is illustrated in Figure 14, which shows the normalized production rates (at 1050 km) for several representative ion species as a function of local solar time, based on our time-dependent ion chemistry model. The normalized production rate is defined as the ratio of the actual production rate to the production rate at local noon. Figure 14 shows that for typical short-lived ions (e.g., CH$_4^+$ and HCNH$^+$), the production rates, which are roughly symmetric about the local noon, decrease sharply as Titan rotates into darkness. On the contrary, the production rates of long-lived ions (e.g., HC$_3$NH$^+$, C$_2$H$_3$NH$^+$ and C$_6$H$_7^+$) show a pattern that extends well into the nightside. These features are important for maintaining an appreciable nightside ionosphere on Titan, though they cannot be directly verified with the INMS ion data.

[48] In Figure 15, we show the altitude profiles of some representative ion species at local noon, midnight, dawn and dusk. These profiles are calculated from the time-dependent model for a latitude of $60^\circ$ and assuming solid body rotation only. Also shown in Figure 15 are the INMS ion densities averaged over the dayside (with SZA between $30^\circ$ and $70^\circ$) and nightside (with SZA beyond $120^\circ$) of Titan. The model profiles cannot be compared directly to observations because the observations do not sample the same constant local time and latitude. The comparison is nevertheless instructive. For long-lived ion species shown in Figure 15, the model profiles are in general agreement with the data, on both the dayside and nightside. However, for short-lived ions, the model predicts systematically lower densities than

Figure 14. Normalized production rates of several representative ion species as a function of local solar time, calculated from the time-dependent ion chemistry model described in the text. The normalized production rate is defined as the ratio of the actual production rate to the production rate at local noon. The production rates of short-lived species such as CH$_4^+$ and HCNH$^+$ decrease sharply as Titan rotates into darkness. However, for long-lived species such as HC$_3$NH$^+$, C$_2$H$_3$NH$^+$, and C$_6$H$_7^+$, there are significant production rates on the nightside.

Figure 15. Ion density profiles at different local solar times between 1000 and 1500 km, calculated from the time-dependent ion chemistry model assuming solid body rotation only. Also shown are INMS ion densities averaged over Titan’s dayside (black dots) and nightside (gray dots), respectively. The dayside densities correspond to average values in the SZA range of $30^\circ$–$70^\circ$, and the nightside densities correspond to average values in the SZA range of $120^\circ$–$180^\circ$. For the three short-lived species in the top, the model profiles at midnight are well below the selected density range and are thus not shown.
the observations on the nightside, indicating that chemical survival from the dayside and associated with solid body rotation only accounts for a small fraction of the observed densities on the nightside for these ions.

We show further in Figure 16 the night-to-day ion density ratios inferred from the time-dependent ion chemistry model, compared with the observed values at 1200 km. The dashed line shows equality between the two quantities. The ratio is shown for all ion species with observed dayside densities greater than 0.1 cm$^{-3}$. For typical long-lived species with modest depletion on the nightside, a general agreement between the observed and predicted night-to-day ratios is obtained, though with a significant scattering which could be associated with uncertainties in the ion reaction data. The scattering is also caused by the fact that the observations sample a range of latitude and local solar time while the model profiles are presented for fixed values of these parameters. However, for typical short-lived species with significant depletion on the nightside, there appears to be a systematic trend of underpredicted night-to-day density ratios, consistent with that seen in Figure 15. Such a systematic deviation cannot be interpreted by either the uncertainties in the ion reaction rates or the realistic sample distribution with respect to latitude and local solar time.

The time-dependent model calculations taking into account Titan’s solid body rotation clearly show persistence in the ion population from the dayside to the nightside. The general trend revealed by the model is consistent with the observed correlation between the loss time constants and night-to-day ion density ratios, as shown in Figure 10. However, the model-data comparison also shows that the predicted depletion for short-lived ions at low altitudes is significantly larger than that observed from the INMS data. To address such a deviation, we investigate further the effects of horizontal neutral winds. Since we do not yet have sufficient knowledge of circulation patterns in Titan’s upper atmosphere [Müller-Wodarg et al., 2008], we adopt here a simplified scheme by imposing enhanced rotation rates in the time-dependent model. This effectively simulates a superrotating thermosphere. As discussed in section 4, (superrotating) horizontal neutral winds enhance the effect of ion survival from the dayside to the nightside, thus partly reducing the day-to-night difference in ion densities inferred from solid body rotation only.

In Figure 17, we show the local time variation in total ion density (or electron density) calculated with the time-dependent ion chemistry model with an enhanced rotation speed of 100 m s$^{-1}$ (black solid line), as compared with the case for solid body rotation only (gray solid line). Both calculations are carried out at a latitude of 60°. The solid body rotation rate at this latitude corresponds to a horizontal velocity of 9 m s$^{-1}$. Figure 17 shows that with enhanced rotation speed, the diurnal variation of electron density is significantly reduced. We also show in Figure 17 the local time variations in the ion densities of two species, including CH$_4^+$ (as representative of a short-lived species,
Superrotation affects the diurnal variations of both light and heavy ion species, though the difference in the ion densities of light species between the two model runs cannot be seen on the density scale of Figure 17.
Similar to Figure 15, we show in Figure 18 the ion density profiles at different local solar times between 1000 and 1500 km, calculated with the enhanced rotation rate of 100 m s\(^{-1}\). At local noon, dawn and dusk, the density profiles calculated with the enhanced rotation rate are similar to those obtained for the case of solid body rotation only. This implies that the ion densities at these local times are primarily controlled by solar illumination. As we have emphasized, the fact that solar illumination is still important near both the morning and evening terminators is because of the extended nature of Titan’s atmosphere [Müller-Wodarg et al., 2000; Cravens et al., 2004; Agren et al., 2009]. On the other hand, at local midnight when photoionization is absent and chemical survival of dayside ions becomes important, the ion densities of all species shown in Figure 18 are significantly increased at all altitudes, as compared with the model profiles for solid body rotation (see Figure 15). The predicted altitude profiles of long-lived ions are in rough agreement with the INMS data. However, Figure 18 shows that even for the enhanced rotation rate, there is still clear discrepancy between the model and the data with respect to the nightside densities of short-lived species such as CH\(_3\)N\(_2\). We expect that such a discrepancy becomes less significant when imposing an even larger rotation rate. However, we do not present here model calculations with horizontal wind speeds larger than 100 m s\(^{-1}\) since they are probably unrealistic [e.g., Müller-Wodarg et al., 2000, 2008]. Instead, the significant densities of short-lived ions observed on Titan’s nightside is more likely to be an indicator of impact ionization by precipitating electrons from Saturn’s magnetosphere, as mentioned in section 4. We will also attempt in a later work more rigorous modeling of the diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere by adopting realistic general circulation patterns of neutral winds on Titan [e.g., Müller-Wodarg et al., 2000, 2008]. The downwelling and upwelling motions associated with such wind patterns may redistribute both short-lived and long-lived ions significantly.

### 6. Discussions and Conclusions

In this paper, we present an analysis of the diurnal variations of Titan’s ionosphere based on a sample of INMS/OSI data obtained during 8 Cassini flybys with the satellite. Though the INMS data show a general trend of ion depletion well beyond the terminator, the total ion densities on the nightside are still appreciable, characterized by a plateau at a level of \(\sim 700\) cm\(^{-3}\) below \(\sim 1300\) km. There is clear asymmetry between the observed ion density profiles near the morning and evening terminators, in that the ion densities at dawn are significantly lower than at dusk. Our analysis reveals clear distinctions between the ionospheric structures of light and heavy ions in that light ions show strong depletion on the nightside whereas the depletion of heavy ions is less pronounced. The observed density plateau in the INMS total ion density distribution is the combined result of the distinct nightside distributions of light and heavy ions. The observed night-to-day ion density ratio is found to be strongly correlated with ion lifetime.

The above observations suggest that the chemical survival of dayside ions provides an important ion source for the nightside of Titan. The distinctions between the diurnal variations of light and heavy ions are associated with their different chemical loss pathways, in that light ions are primarily lost through ion-neutral chemistry (with relatively small chemical time constants), while heavy ones are primarily lost through electron dissociative recombination (with relatively large recombination time constants). The observed diurnal variation of the apparent exception, NH\(_4\), can also be interpreted by such a scenario, since NH\(_4\) is primarily lost through recombination though it is a light species. We have constructed a time-dependent ion chemistry model to investigate the effects of chemistry and transport on the ionospheric structures of Titan for the case of solid body rotation and the case with superrotating horizontal winds. The general characteristics of the observed diurnal variations are well captured by the model. The model with superrotating winds presents significantly more ions on the nightside, as compared with the case of solid body rotation only. However, in both cases the predicted densities of short-lived ions (e.g., CH\(_3\)) are systematically lower than the observations on the nightside.

The discrepancy between the model and data with respect to the nightside distribution of short-lived ions could be interpreted by electron precipitation from Saturn’s magnetosphere. Both precipitation of magnetospheric electrons and ion survival from the dayside can contribute to Titan’s nightside ionosphere and it is difficult to disentangle the contributions of these two sources. There are several powerful arguments for the importance of electron precipitation. The significant densities of very short-lived ions (e.g., CH\(_3\), N\(_2\)) on the nightside strongly suggests electron precipitation because it seems unlikely that these species could survive from the dayside. We have also shown that model calculations based on electron precipitation can produce a nightside ion spectrum in rough agreement with the INMS observations. Moreover, the correlations between electron flux and CH\(_3\) ion density demonstrated by Cravens et al. [2008b] shows that, at least for that encounter, electron impact ionization was occurring on the nightside. However, it is dangerous to generalize this conclusion because the magnetospheric electron and ion fluxes measured during T5 are far higher than for any other encounter [Cravens et al., 2008b]. One of the difficulties with assessing the importance of magnetospheric precipitation is our lack of knowledge of the magnetic topology in the vicinity of Titan. Theoretical predictions of \(B\) field penetration into the ionosphere are not yet precise enough to predict at which locations magnetospheric precipitation is important. Thus though it is possible to explain much of the ionospheric data with models that incorporate electron precipitation, it is unclear if agreement between models and data reflect the free parameters that the models must employ or the underlying physical reality. Finally, we note that electron precipitation does not necessarily imply a connection with Saturn’s magnetosphere because it is possible that some regions on the nightside of Titan are magnetically connected to the dayside and precipitating electrons could simply be suprathermal electrons from the dayside. Better knowledge of the \(B\) field topology is required to investigate this possibility.

Several characteristics of the observed ion densities argue for the importance of chemical survival through day-to-night transport, enhanced by superrotating horizontal winds. The different diurnal variations seen in short-lived...
and long-lived species, especially the strong correlation between the observed night-to-day ion density ratios and the associated ion lifetimes, are naturally explained by such a scenario. The observed asymmetry between the dawn and dusk ion density profiles also strongly supports this interpretation. Time-dependent model calculations show that the long-lived ions can survive well into the nightside, with the observed night-to-day density ratio of ~2 naturally explained as a slow decay of density with local time. For the model calculations with superrotating horizontal winds, the good agreement between the calculated and observed altitude profiles for long-lived ions on both the dayside and nightside of Titan is rather compelling since there is only one free parameter involved.

Investigation into the nature of Titan’s nightside ionosphere can be extended in several ways. Collection of more data will certainly help, not only by further characterizing the variations with SZA, but also by enabling measurement of variations with other geophysical variables, such as longitude or magnetospheric interaction geometry (J. G. Luhmann et al., Magnetospheric plasma interaction effects on Titan’s ionosphere, manuscript in preparation). Accurate models for the field topology in the vicinity of Titan would help enormously by permitting well-constrained theoretical predictions for the patterns and levels of magnetospheric precipitation. Finally, simulations of the nightside ionosphere based on realistic general circulation models for neutral winds would allow more accurate estimation of the effects of chemical survival through day-to-night transport. We expect that the downwelling and upwelling motions associated with such models produce more complicated effects than predicted by the numerical experiments done so far. This also implies that with a better understanding of the ion chemistry on Titan as well as a better constraint on the contribution of electron precipitation, it may be possible to use the observed pattern of diurnal variations in Titan’s ionosphere to constrain the wind field.
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