

- We followed up on last week's meeting notes, and spent a while talking about having explicit goals / a mission statement for the group. (See last meeting's notes.)
- We discussed what our upcoming reading topics should be (modern vs. 'classic' texts) and agreed to read some of the papers referenced in the 'Double Jeopardy' article that was the subject of last week's Journal Club. (Some or 1/2 of our future meetings will be focused around some sort of reading. We're aiming for twice monthly meetings.)
- We agreed to investigate presenting some allyship-related information at the next LPLC or in another public forum, and otherwise plan to look into more opportunities to take on responsibilities usually taken on by others.
- We agreed to update the men's auxiliary website (possibly with a reading list as curated above.)
- There was a discussion of how to get information on how the department advertises itself to potential graduate students (i.e. are we advertising to only elite, less diverse schools?) from the faculty. Relatedly, we want to find ways to make faculty more involved on a variety of issues.
- We agreed to look into all the available trainings (i.e. safe zone, etc) and publicize them. One grad student present has previous experience in some of the trainings and offered to help organize a training session at some point in the near future.
- In general, we talked a lot about different avenues for sharing more of the work load (emotional and otherwise) on tasks and events and planning that typically haven't been assigned to male-identifying people.
- The next meeting, in a week or two, will be focused on a reading selected by Tad + Josh, more details soon.
- And yes, the name of the group is opaque, confusing, and indicates male ownership over it (all of which we don't want) so we've agreed to change the name of this group. (Jury's out on what it gets changed to.)