# Icarus 262 (2015) 154-169

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Icarus

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/icarus

# Modeling the development of martian sublimation thermokarst landforms

Colin M. Dundas<sup>a,\*</sup>, Shane Byrne<sup>b</sup>, Alfred S. McEwen<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Astrogeology Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, 2255 N. Gemini Dr., Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA <sup>b</sup> Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 August 2014 Revised 17 June 2015 Accepted 29 July 2015 Available online 21 August 2015

Keywords: Mars, surface Geological processes Mars, climate

# ABSTRACT

Sublimation-thermokarst landforms result from collapse of the surface when ice is lost from the subsurface. On Mars, scalloped landforms with scales of decameters to kilometers are observed in the midlatitudes and considered likely thermokarst features. We describe a landscape evolution model that couples diffusive mass movement and subsurface ice loss due to sublimation. Over periods of tens of thousands of Mars years under conditions similar to the present, the model produces scallop-like features similar to those on the martian surface, starting from much smaller initial disturbances. The model also indicates crater expansion when impacts occur in surfaces underlain by excess ice to some depth, with morphologies similar to observed landforms on the martian northern plains. In order to produce these landforms by sublimation, substantial quantities of excess ice are required, at least comparable to the vertical extent of the landform, and such ice must remain in adjacent terrain to support the nondeflated surface. We suggest that martian thermokarst features are consistent with formation by sublimation, without melting, and that significant thicknesses of very clean excess ice (up to many tens of meters, the depth of some scalloped depressions) are locally present in the martian mid-latitudes. Climate conditions leading to melting at significant depth are not required.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

# 1. Introduction

Ground ice on Mars represents a key link between martian geology and climate history, and is a potential resource for future exploration. The theoretical basis for the distribution of ground ice on Mars was established by Leighton and Murray (1966) and has been refined by many subsequent workers (e.g., Mellon et al., 2004; Schorghofer and Aharonson, 2005; Chamberlain and Boynton, 2007). The distribution of near-surface ice is expected to change substantially with variations in Mars' orbit and climate; at present, ice is thought to be stable at a depth of decimeters in the mid-latitudes, and millimeters to centimeters near the poles (e.g., Mellon and Jakosky, 1995; Head et al., 2003; Chamberlain and Boynton, 2007; Schorghofer, 2007). The presence of ground ice can have a dramatic effect on the geomorphology, resulting in a diverse range of landforms.

Excess ice is ground ice exceeding the natural pore space of unfrozen soil (van Everdingen, 1998). A number of lines of evidence now indicate widespread excess ice on Mars (e.g., Boynton et al., 2002; Byrne et al., 2009; Mouginot et al., 2010; Dundas

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: cdundas@usgs.gov (C.M. Dundas).

et al., 2014). Excess ice with only  $\sim$ 1 vol% regolith was also directly excavated by the Phoenix lander (Smith et al., 2009; Mellon et al., 2009; Cull et al., 2010). The distribution and origins of this ice, however, are still not understood. Geomorphology provides another avenue for learning about this ice. Thermokarst landforms develop when ice is lost from ice-rich ground in a spatially heterogeneous way, leading to local surface subsidence and collapse. Therefore, they can serve as indicators of the loss of excess ice and provide insight into the conditions and processes forming and removing the ice. Thermokarst features on Mars were proposed by several authors based on Mariner and Viking imagery (e.g., Sharp, 1973; Anderson et al., 1973; Costard and Kargel, 1995). Most recent analyses of potential thermokarst have focused on concentrations of large scalloped depressions in Utopia Planitia and south of the Hellas basin (Plescia, 2003; Morgenstern et al., 2007; Soare et al., 2007, 2008, 2011; Lefort et al., 2009, 2010; Ulrich et al., 2010; Zanetti et al., 2010; Séjourné et al., 2011, 2012). In their simplest form, these rimless depressions have shallow, equator-facing slopes, somewhat steeper, pole-facing scarps, and are meters to tens of meters deep, although they may merge to produce much more complicated landscapes (Figs. 1-4). Because Mars is a cold and dry planet, most recent authors have suggested that these form through sublimation, although Soare





CrossMark



**Fig. 1.** Scalloped depressions in Utopia Planitia. Illumination is from the left and north is up in both panels. (A) Archetypal simple scalloped depression, with relatively steep pole-facing scarp and gentle equator-facing slope (HiRISE image PSP\_001582\_2245). (B) Scalloped landscape, with simple scalloped depressions (right) and complex landscape likely formed by mergers and interactions of many smaller landforms (HiRISE image PSP\_001938\_2265). All HiRISE images and anaglyphs in this paper credit NASA/JPL/University of Arizona. Images in all figures have been stretched to best show surface features; original images are available via the Planetary Data System or at www.hirise.lpl.arizona.edu.



**Fig. 2.** Red-blue anaglyph of simple scalloped depressions in Utopia Planitia. North is up and illumination is from the left. Right: an ideal example with a steeper pole-facing scarp and shallow equator-facing slope that merges with surrounding terrain. Left: scalloped depressions commonly deviate somewhat from this form. While the pole-facing scarp remains most prominent, the floor is relatively flat and there is an equator-facing scarp. (Anaglyph constructed from HiRISE stereo images PSP\_001938\_2265 and PSP\_002439\_2265.) (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

et al. (2007, 2008) proposed melting ground ice instead, and argued for stable, ponded surface water.

A number of terrestrial thermokarst landforms are known, including alases (depressions with steep sides and flat floors) and oriented thaw lakes (e.g., French, 2007). The formation of terrestrial thermokarst features normally results from melting rather than sublimation, and on Earth, excess ground ice primarily results from processes involving liquid water, such as ice segregation or the growth of ice wedges (e.g., French, 2007). Soare et al. (2011) raised several questions about sublimation models for martian scalloped depressions and considered such "wet" periglacial processes to be a more effective terrestrial analog. Consequently, the formation of martian thermokarst features is of importance not only for understanding the state of ground ice but also the climate of the geologically recent past.

Studies of martian thermokarst have been hindered by the qualitative nature of formation models. Landscape evolution modeling



**Fig. 3.** Red-blue anaglyph of simple scalloped depression at Peneus Patera. In the southern hemisphere, the orientation is reversed. (Anaglyph constructed from HiRISE images stereo ESP\_013873\_1225 and ESP\_014005\_1225. North is up and illumination is from the left.) (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

offers the ability to assess the way in which a landform will evolve, and early applications to Mars have led to insights into a variety of landforms (e.g., Byrne and Ingersoll, 2003; Forsberg-Taylor et al., 2004; Pelletier, 2004; Howard, 2007). In this paper we report on results of landscape evolution modeling of martian sublimation-thermokarst processes that allow us to better understand the three-dimensional evolution of a landform. In Section 2, we summarize observations of scalloped depressions and models of their origins, and then give a brief description of evidence for thermo-karstic crater expansion on the martian northern plains. The landscape evolution model is described in Section 3, and the scenarios we consider in Section 4. Section 5 gives the model results, and Section 6 discusses their broader significance for martian geomorphology and ground ice.



**Fig. 4.** Example south-to-north scallop profiles from a HiRISE Digital Terrain Model (DTM) constructed from stereo images PSP\_001938\_2265 and PSP\_002439\_2265. The elevation of each profile has been scaled to begin at an arbitrary 0 m. The yellow and red profiles correspond to the left and right depressions in Fig. 2, respectively. Blue and cyan profiles are from elsewhere in the DTM. Note steeper north (pole)-facing and shallower equatorward slopes. Profiles were selected to illustrate the morphology of simple examples and do not show the full diversity of scallop topography. Digital Terrain Model credit NASA/JPL/University of Arizona/U. S. Geological Survey. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Before proceeding, we note several details regarding terminology. In terrestrial usage, the term "thermokarst" refers to the process of landform development by thawing or melting excess ice or massive ice (van Everdingen, 1998). We apply the modifier "sublimation thermokarst" above since we are considering landforms created without melting. In the remainder of the paper, this will generally be referred to as "thermokarst" for brevity, but the sublimation process should be understood throughout. We use the term "ground ice" to refer to all subsurface ice. In terrestrial usage this term may exclude buried ice or snow (van Everdingen, 1998), but some recent work broadens the definition (cf. French, 2007) and the origin of martian ground ice is often uncertain. Finally, we use "excess ice" to refer to ground ice exceeding the natural pore space of thawed soil, although the scenarios that we examine also meet the definition of "massive ice", with an ice-to-dry-soil weight ratio greater than 2.5 (van Everdingen, 1998).

# 2. Martian thermokarst landforms

#### 2.1. Scalloped depressions

Scalloped depressions on Mars have been described by a number of workers, primarily in Utopia Planitia and in the vicinity of Amphitrites and Peneus Paterae south of the Hellas basin (Costard and Kargel, 1995; Plescia, 2003; Morgenstern et al., 2007; Soare et al., 2008; Lefort et al., 2009, 2010; Ulrich et al., 2010; Zanetti et al., 2010; Séjourné et al., 2011, 2012). The basic morphology is seen in Fig. 1, and consists of a shallow equatorfacing slope and steeper pole-facing rise. However, individual scallops may have a somewhat steeper equator-facing slope at the northern edge, and intersecting scallops may form complex landscapes. Slopes are typically low, ~2° on the equator-facing slope and moderately higher on the pole-facing scarp (Figs. 2–4). Concentric scarps within the depression (Fig. 1a) have been attributed to scarp retreat variations during obliquity cycles (Lefort et al., 2009) or layering in the substrate (Séjourné et al., 2012).

The difficulty of melting subsurface ice under recent martian climate conditions (e.g., Mellon and Phillips, 2001) has led most research to focus on sublimation as the likely cause. Morgenstern et al. (2007), Zanetti et al. (2010) suggested that higher temperatures on Sun-facing slopes led to greater sublimation and retreat

of those slopes. Lefort et al. (2009) suggested both enhanced sublimation on equator-facing slopes and retreat of pole-facing scarps by mass wasting, particularly at high obliquity, along with eolian erosion to remove material from a developing protective lag. Ulrich et al. (2010), Séjourné et al. (2011) both proposed that the major growth process was enhanced sublimation and retreat of the pole-facing scarp at high obliquity.

#### 2.2. Crater expansion

Figs. 5 and 6 show examples of unusual craters seen on the northern plains, particularly in and near Arcadia Planitia (Viola et al., 2015). The basic morphology is crudely funnel-shaped, with a sub-circular pit inside a broader depression with somewhat shallower slopes. The broad depression may be symmetric or asymmetric, but in local areas the craters usually have similar shapes. Smaller craters in the vicinity tend to be highly degraded. and the depressions surrounding adjacent craters may merge (Fig. 5c). In some locations, expanded morphologies are confined to higher-standing terrain, while craters on the lower-lying surface have typical bowl-shaped morphologies (Fig. 5d). The primary origin is inferred to be impact because this is the process most likely to create tens of thousands of decameter-scale craters, and because some occur in radial patterns extending from well-preserved (5-30 km) craters (Fig. 5e; Viola et al., 2015), implying that dense fields of such craters originated from secondary impacts.

Like Viola et al. (2015), we interpret these features as the result of thermokarst modification of impact craters. Thermokarstic collapse is a likely consequence of impacts into terrain with near-surface excess ice at latitudes where ice occurs at shallow depths. A crater in such a surface will produce some slopes with higher-than-average temperatures, destabilizing the ground ice and leading to sublimation and modification of the crater morphology. The most likely alternative explanation is that the observed features are the result of impact into a layered target. Such layering can produce terraced or flat-floored craters (e.g., Melosh, 1989). We consider this unlikely to be the sole explanation for several reasons. Some simple craters are seen in the immediate vicinity of apparently expanded examples (Fig. 5b); layered-target impacts should develop their characteristic morphology at the time of impact, so these craters should be tiered unless the layers are laterally discontinuous. (In some, but not all, cases, the non-expanded craters appear to be in lower-lying, texturally distinct material.) Small craters in an ice-poor layered target should be largely unaffected, but instead appear to be very heavily modified (Fig. 5c). Asymmetric surrounding depressions could be explained by oblique impacts, but some depressions have very irregular shapes uncharacteristic of impact craters (Fig. 5c). Finally, the commonly observed funnel-like profile is somewhat different from the concentric-crater morphology expected of a layered target, and larger craters do not transition to the flat-floor or central-mound morphology expected for cases where the layer thickness is less than  $\sim 10 \times$  the crater diameter (Melosh, 1989). (A handful of such terraced craters are observed in Arcadia Planitia with considerably larger diameters (Bramson et al., 2014).) It is possible, however, that the target surfaces are layered and this may be an additional influence on crater morphology in some cases.

Eolian modification of impact craters is unlikely to be the main driver of expansion. It is unlikely that wind patterns would be so balanced as to produce essentially symmetric expansion, and it is not clear how wind would produce the observed breaks in slope. Such expansion morphologies are not seen in the Medusae Fossae Formation, which is thoroughly sculpted by the wind. Eolian processes, however, may influence thermokarst evolution by depositing sand or dust in depressions, or by removing lag deposits and accelerating subsequent sublimation.



**Fig. 5.** (A) Example of a roughly symmetric crater showing apparent expansion, with textural change possibly due to eolian materials in the inner cavity (HiRISE image ESP\_016108\_2305). (B) Non-expanded crater among expanded craters (HiRISE image ESP\_016108\_2305). (C) Asymmetric expansion (HiRISE image ESP\_017308\_2315). Note numerous pits or highly degraded small craters. (D) Expanded craters confined to high-standing unit with distinct texture. This high-standing unit approximately corresponds to the ejecta of an older, larger crater, but expanded craters also occur in mantling materials within the crater and on detached hummocks. (Anaglyph from HiRISE stereo image ESP\_017875\_2305 and ESP\_018007\_2305.) (E) Expanded craters in a crater ray composed of secondary craters. (CTX image B19\_017018\_2349\_XN\_54N144 W. Credit: NASA/JPL/Malin Space Science Systems (MSSS).) North is up and light from the left in all panels.



**Fig. 6.** Typical expanded-crater profiles from a HiRISE DTM constructed from stereo images ESP\_026446\_2305 and ESP\_027158\_2305. The vertical axis of each profile has been shifted so that each profile begins at 0 m. Note the broad funnel-like shape steepening near the center of each crater. Digital Terrain Model credit NASA/JPL/ University of Arizona.

#### 3. Landscape evolution model

We examine sublimation thermokarst by modeling the landscape evolution of a martian surface undergoing loss of ground ice. The model makes use of the same vapor-diffusion process that determines the depth to stable ice in models used to determine the global ground ice distribution (e.g., Leighton and Murray, 1966; Mellon et al., 2004; Schorghofer and Aharonson, 2005; Chamberlain and Boynton, 2007). Ground ice is stable when the mean vapor pressure in the atmosphere matches that of the saturated gas at the ice table. The occurrence of a lag damps the amplitude of temperature variations in the ice and thus reduces the mean vapor pressure, because of the exponential dependence of vapor pressure on temperature. Consequently, at mid and high latitudes ground ice is stable under a lag of some thickness, dependent on latitude and thermophysical properties. If the ice is too shallow, the average vapor pressure over the course of the year is higher than in the atmosphere, resulting in a net vapor pressure gradient leading to diffusive loss of water vapor and retreat of the ice. An ice table deeper than equilibrium experiences the reverse process. We use a one-dimensional thermal model to estimate ice loss rates for various slopes and ice depths, and then use those loss rates to adjust the ice depth in a landscape model. Where excess ice (exceeding natural soil pore space) is present in the model, sublimation can alter the surface topography, adjusting slopes for future time steps and driving the evolution of landforms.

The thermal model solves the thermal conduction equation using a semi-implicit (Crank-Nicolson) scheme and the convergence algorithm described by Chamberlain (2006) to rapidly reach a stable solution. The surface energy balance is given by

$$F_{solar} + F_{IR} + F_{scat} + k \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0} + L_{CO_2} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \sigma T_S^4$$
(1)

where  $F_{solar}$  is the insolation reaching the surface as a function of incidence angle and solar distance,  $F_{IR}$  is the thermal radiation from the atmosphere,  $F_{scat}$  is atmospherically scattered light, k is the thermal conductivity, T is the temperature, z is vertical distance,  $L_{CO2}$  is the latent heat of CO<sub>2</sub> frost (if present), m is the mass of CO<sub>2</sub> frost,  $\varepsilon$ is the surface emissivity,  $\sigma$  is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant and  $T_S$ is the surface temperature. CO<sub>2</sub> frost condenses if the surface temperature drops to the CO<sub>2</sub> frost point, and the albedo is adjusted when CO<sub>2</sub> frost is present. Thermophysical properties (thermal conductivity, heat capacity and density) are treated as constant with respect to temperature and atmospheric pressure. Standard model parameters are given in Table 1.

Fluxes are calculated similarly to Schorghofer and Edgett (2006):

$$F_{solar} = \frac{S_0}{R^2} (1 - A)(1 - f)^{1/\max(\cos i, 0.04)} \sin \theta$$
(2)

$$F_{IR} = f_{IR} \frac{S_0}{R^2} \cos^2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cos i_{noon} + F_{flat} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

$$F_{scat} = \frac{1}{2} f_{scat} \frac{S_0}{R^2} \cos^2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \tag{4}$$

where  $S_0$  is the solar constant, R is the Mars–Sun distance, A is the surface bolometric hemispheric albedo, i is the incidence angle,  $\theta$  is the elevation of the Sun above a sloped surface and  $\alpha$  is the surface slope. For sloped surfaces the model accounts for self-shadowing and slope effects on insolation. Incoming thermal radiation is adjusted due to the reduced view of the sky. For computational efficiency, rather than model radiative interaction with other surfaces at different temperatures, slopes receive radiation ( $F_{flat}$ ) from a level

Table 1

Parameter values.

surface at the temperature of flat ground that does not interact with any slopes. The coefficient  $f_{IR}$  is taken as 0.04 × ( $P_{surf}$ /700 Pa), where  $P_{surf}$  is the surface pressure. This is a modified version of the "2% assumption" of Kieffer et al. (1977), in which the infrared emission from the atmosphere is assumed to be 2% of the noon insolation. (We scale to a different reference pressure than Schorghofer and Edgett (2006), which is the approximate pressure of the Viking Lander 1 site where Haberle and Jakosky (1991) tested the "2% assumption.") The coefficient  $f_{scat}$  is taken as 0.02 and  $f = f_{IR} + f_{scat}$ . This model for atmospheric extinction and thermal radiation is simplistic but takes much less computer time than a more accurate model of radiative transfer. For level surfaces with the two sets of baseline parameters discussed below, annual-average temperatures from the simple model differed from a more detailed model (Dundas et al., 2014) by 3 and 1 K. respectively. The instantaneous surface temperatures often differed more significantly, but ice stability is controlled by subsurface temperature. For the purpose of understanding the general behavior of landforms, the thermal model does not require a high level of accuracy, only a reasonable approximation of the behavior of equilibrium depth with slope and aspect. Stability depths in this paper should be understood in this context. Moreover, the variation in atmospheric conditions over the hundreds of kyr timescales that we model is probably significant.

Near-surface atmospheric water vapor contents are modeled using the method of Chamberlain (2006). (Slightly different approaches have been used by Mellon et al. (2004), Schorghofer and Aharonson (2005). For the present paper we use this method since we also use column abundance predictions from Chamberlain (2006) for different orbital conditions.) A value is assumed for the annual-average atmospheric water vapor content. It is assumed that this water is mixed in an atmospheric column which is either at the saturation value for the average diurnal temperature or at some limiting constant value, whichever is less. The constant value is then tuned to match the assumed annual-average column abundance of water vapor. Note that these column abundances are simply a proxy for the annual-average near-surface water vapor number density (the parameter actually used to calculate ice stability depth), and the true relationship between column abundance and near-surface water vapor abundance may not be well-described by assumptions of uniform mixing (cf. Tamppari and Lemmon, 2014). The near-surface value is then adjusted to never exceed the saturation vapor pressure at the surface temperature, which has the effect of depleting near-surface water vapor at night. This model is simplistic, but captures the basic features of the observed annual water cycle adequately for our purposes, since we only need a reasonable approximation of the near-surface water vapor density. For the present climate we assume an

Parameter	Value	Comment
Ice density	917 kg m <sup>-3</sup>	Non-porous
Ice specific heat	$1577 \mathrm{Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$	Value for 200 K; Paterson (1994)
Ice thermal conductivity	$3.14 \text{ W} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	Value for 200 K; Paterson (1994)
Regolith specific heat	$840 \mathrm{J}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$	Typical silicate; consistent with Mellon et al. (2004)
Regolith bulk density and thermal conductivity	Variable	Function of thermal inertia following Mellon and Jakosky (1993)
Surface emissivity	1.0	
CO <sub>2</sub> frost emissivity	1.0	Lower values widely used, but see Haberle et al. (2008)
CO <sub>2</sub> frost albedo	0.65	
Vapor diffusion coefficient	$3\times 10^{-4}m^2s^{-1}$	In range from Hudson et al. (2007) experiments; can be somewhat reduced by various factors (Hudson and Aharonson, 2008)
Dry lag porosity <sup>a</sup>	Variable	Zent et al. (2010) estimated 0.48–0.55 for the upper 15 mm at the Phoenix Lander site
Wind speed	$2.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	For forced-convection sublimation; see Dundas and Byrne (2010)

<sup>a</sup> Estimated from the regolith bulk density assuming a grain density of 2600 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. Thermal inertias of  $150-250 \text{ J} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1/2}$  correspond to porosities of 0.48-0.38.

annual-average atmospheric water column abundance of 20 precipitable microns (pr. µm) at 0 km elevation. This is consistent with the latitude limit of ice exposed by recent impacts, which suggest that 20–25 µm is an appropriate estimate for the recent longterm value (Byrne et al., 2009; Dundas et al., 2014). Mellon et al. (2008b) suggest that a long-term average of 20 pr.  $\mu$ m is a good match for the global ice depth distribution. The two methods may be sensitive to somewhat different timescales for the ice to adjust; for this study we use 20 pr. µm, and note that the general nature of our results would not be affected by modest differences. We also note that the method of scaling column abundance to near-surface vapor density used by the above estimates is somewhat different from that in Chamberlain and Boynton (2007), although Chamberlain (2006) notes that they produce similar results. For high obliquities we use the values estimated by Chamberlain and Boynton (2007). For each set of conditions considered, we use this method and a level-surface thermal model to generate an estimate of the near-surface average atmospheric vapor pressure, and then run a suite of models with varying slope, aspect and ice depth to determine vapor pressures at the ice table.

The thermal model is run with a time step of 0.01 martian days to determine the mean temperature and vapor pressure at the ice table. With values for the annual-average water content at the ice table and the surface, it is possible to calculate the rate of diffusive ice loss (e.g., Hudson et al., 2007; Schorghofer, 2007, 2010):

$$J = -D\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z} \tag{5}$$

where J is the mass flux, D is the diffusion coefficient,  $\rho$  is the vapor density and z is vertical distance. We then generate lookup tables of annual-average vapor pressure differences between the surface and a range of ice table depths for varying slopes and slope aspects. These are used to determine annual evolution rates in the landscape model, with a time step of one Mars year. We assume east-west symmetry, which is observed in models of ice stability on slopes (Aharonson and Schorghofer, 2006) and halves the number of model runs needed to generate a lookup table of ice-table vapor pressures. This assumption was tested by generating mirrorimage lookup tables with east- and west-facing slopes. Differences between model runs with each were very small, indicating that this approximation is sufficient. The near-surface atmospheric water content for a site is calculated using a thermal model for a level surface and the method described above. A lookup table is needed for each set of surface thermophysical properties and orbital parameters to be considered. We also calculate the rate of sublimation from an exposed ice surface by forced convection (Dundas and Byrne, 2010) using the same vapor density difference, and use this value if it is less than that resulting from Eq. (5) on the assumption that for very shallow ice, diffusion through the lag is not the ratelimiting process. (Rates from Eq. (5) go to infinity if  $\Delta z$  goes to zero and  $\Delta \rho$  is finite.) For simplicity, we only consider forced convection rather than the complete sublimation model of Dundas and Byrne (2010). Free convection has a more complex relationship to the vapor density difference.

The surface is described by a grid of elevation points. Given input surface topography and ice depths, we calculate ice loss rates from the lookup table using trilinear interpolation over slope, aspect and the logarithm of ice depth. Although the values within the table do not actually vary linearly, this simplification was considered acceptable in order to improve computation speed. Once the loss rate is calculated, the topography and ice depth are adjusted, depending on whether the top of the ice table consists of pore ice or excess ice. The thickness of the dry lag is also adjusted based on the retreat of pore ice or the regolith content of the excess ice, accounting for the porosity of the developing lag as in Schorghofer (2010). Sublimation retreat is assumed to be normal to the local surface.

In calculating loss rates for each point, we use the ice depth at that point and calculate the slope and aspect using a plane defined by the x and y slopes given by the surrounding four points. This loss rate calculation is somewhat analogous to solution of an advection equation (i.e., time derivative proportional to spatial derivative) via a forward-time-centered-space (FTCS) method, which is known to be numerically unstable (e.g., Press et al., 2007). However, the physical model used to calculate loss rates is complex and does not have a simple linear slope dependence, defying any simple stability analysis. The addition of diffusive mass movement, described next, serves to damp any tendency for short-wavelength perturbations to blow up and destabilize the solution. We found that model results exhibit a very weak dependence on spatial resolution. At the resolution employed (i.e., 0.25 m/post), variation with resolution is far less than the landform relief and falls rapidly as the point spacing is decreased.

In addition to sublimation, topography is also affected by diffusive mass movement. This process describes the cumulative effects of small movements producing surface creep. On Mars, such movement could be due to factors like seismic shaking, small meteorite impacts, seasonal frost loading and sublimation, and thermal cycling. Given the abundance of thermal contraction polygons on Mars (Mellon et al., 2008a), the latter is likely to be particularly important. This model may also reproduce the net effects of larger mass movements, but does not resolve individual events. Such slope evolution has typically been modeled by linear diffusion, but we follow recent work in incorporating nonlinear effects, causing a dramatic acceleration in mass wasting as slopes approach some critical angle (e.g., Roering et al., 1999). The flux is given by

$$q_s = \frac{K\nabla z}{1 - \left(|\nabla z|/S_c\right)^2} \tag{6}$$

where  $q_s$  is the sediment flux, *K* is the diffusivity and  $S_c$  is the critical slope. We implement this effect using an explicit numerical method detailed by Perron (2011), his Eqs. (9)–(11)). *K* and  $S_c$  are model parameters. Coefficients for nonlinear diffusion approximate those for linear diffusion when well below the critical slope.

The input parameters for such equations are highly variable on Earth. Roering et al. (1999) estimated a diffusivity of  $3.2 \times 10^{-3}$  m<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and a critical slope of 1.25 (51°) for a study site in Oregon. Hanks (2000) found that diffusivity values affecting fault scarps in the Basin and Range region of the western United States were typically  $10^{-3}$  m<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Martin and Church (1997) examined a range of previous studies and found a median value of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  m<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for creep, with much higher values for the net effect of landsliding.

The values for these parameters on present-day Mars are not known. Creep rates on Mars should be much less than on Earth due to lack of rainfall, lower gravity, and lower rates of other processes, but mid- and high-latitude rates on Mars are probably much higher than the planetary average due to thermal cycling of ground ice and the effects of seasonal CO<sub>2</sub> frost (both basal sublimation and loading of the surface), as shown by the rapid disappearance of small craters (Korteniemi and Kreslavsky, 2013). This is consistent with the generally muted topography at high latitudes (e.g., Squyres and Carr, 1986), although mantling by airfall deposits likely also contributes to this appearance (e.g., Kreslavsky and Head, 2000). In the absence of measurements of this parameter for Mars, we adopt a value of  $10^{-4}$  m<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is near the low end of terrestrial values, and therefore probably an upper limit for Mars. The short high-latitude crater lifetimes inferred by Korteniemi and Kreslavsky (2013) would be consistent with higher values, but they investigated latitudes that are mostly

higher than the landforms we are considering, and suggested that processes other than creep are important for crater degradation in that zone. For comparison, the diffusivity for the lunar surface has been estimated as  $5.5 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in a linear model (Fassett and Thomson, 2014), but the controlling processes are different because lunar landscape evolution is dominated by small impacts that are partially screened by the martian atmosphere. Assuming a high value is a conservative assumption for our purposes since it will tend to smooth modeled landforms and reduce the relief generated by sublimation. Martian soils are known to support steep trench walls and have some cohesion (e.g., Moore et al., 1987; Shaw et al., 2009), so we adopt a critical slope of 1.25 (51°) for this work. We apply creep modification only to the regolith cover, omitting the possibility of viscous deformation of the ice or modification by fracture infill.

The default parameters for diffusive models like this one cease to be valid for exposed bedrock or ice-cemented ground, and we use this model only to modify regolith thickness. We handle this in a simplified manner by finding nodes where the lag thickness would fall below 0.1 mm, reducing the outward flux components from those nodes by a fixed factor, and then recalculating the diffusive change to topography, iterating until there are no such nodes. This approach has no direct physical basis but suffices as a simple approximation.

The most important model parameters are the initial topography, depth to ice, excess ice thickness, and thickness of pore ice above the clean ice (if any); the regolith content of the excess ice; the diffusivity used in modeling mass wasting; and the geographic location, thermophysical properties and orbital parameters used to generate the lookup table. Standard model parameters are summarized in Table 1. We use periodic boundary conditions, which equate to an assumption that the landform being modeled is part of a field of similar landforms with spacing set by the domain size. This assumption is consistent with the occurrence of regions of abundant scallops or expanded secondary craters, although in scalloped regions it is not necessarily the case that all landforms began growth at the same time.

This model is necessarily limited in order to make it computationally tractable. The simplifications are mainly driven by the need to treat the slopes and ice table depths generically in the lookup table rather than individually modeling evolution rates for the unique conditions of each point on the surface. The thermal model incorporates self-shadowing by a slope element, but does

#### Table 2

Model scenarios.

not include mutual shadowing and has only a simplistic radiative interaction with a level slope. In generating the lookup tables we assume that the icy layer has the thermophysical properties of pure ice rather than ice-cemented ground, because we are primarily interested in cases where a significant quantity of excess ice is present near the surface. Ice and ice-cemented regolith are much more similar to each other than they are to dry regolith, so we consider this an adequate approximation. Other simplifications are used because we focus on examining the landforms produced by sublimation following disturbances to equilibrium. We did not define thermal contraction polygons or other lateral variations in the ice table, although such variations could localize sublimation (as suggested in some locations by pits along polygon troughs). Under conditions where the ice table is aggrading, we assume that the net annual flux of ice into the ground fills the pore space just above the ice table. This style of aggradation is predicted to occur when ice aggrades above an impermeable ice laver (Schorghofer and Forget, 2012), but in some cases pore space may be partially filled through a greater volume of the lag (e.g., Schorghofer, 2010). Since we are modeling conditions that produce thermokarst landforms, where most of the surface is stable or undergoing ice loss, this is not expected to fundamentally affect the results; cold slopes where ice is aggrading do not undergo topographic changes, because ice is assumed to fill in the pore space. In situ growth of excess ice is possible under some conditions (e.g., Fisher, 2005; Sizemore et al., 2015) but is not included. (The process modeled by Fisher (2005) is slow; the ice segregation modeled by Sizemore et al. (2015) can be fast, but primarily under warm conditions.) This simplification is likewise considered acceptable since we are primarily interested in conditions where sublimation is dominant. We also omit any viscous creep of the ice. Both viscous creep and ice lens growth may be important in some conditions on Mars, but in this paper we focus on the morphologies produced by sublimation

#### 4. Model scenarios

We consider two families of scenarios, one intended to reproduce the general morphology of scalloped depressions and the other to look at crater expansion in icy targets. Scenarios are summarized in Table 2 and discussed below.

Number	Description
Scallop case 1	Baseline; 50°N, thermal inertia (TI) 250 J m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1/2</sup> , albedo 0.13, 0.55 m equilibrium ice depth, $\kappa$ = 10 <sup>-4</sup> m <sup>2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , 1 vol% regolith in thick clean ice under the equilibrium cover, circular initial disturbance with center depth 0.3 m and radius 16 m
Scallop case 2	As baseline, but 10 vol% regolith in clean ice
Scallop case 3	As baseline, but restricted thickness (1 m) of clean ice
Scallop case 4	As baseline, but with TI of $350 \text{ J} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$ , causing ice to be unstable under level surfaces
Scallop case 5	As baseline, but smaller initial disturbance (8 m radius)
Scallop case 6	As baseline, but shallower initial disturbance (0.15 m)
Scallop case 7	As baseline, but wider (elliptical) disturbance
Scallop case 8	As baseline, but $\kappa = 3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{m^2  yr^{-1}}$
Scallop case 9	As baseline, but $\kappa$ = 3 $\times$ 10 <sup>-5</sup> m <sup>2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> and with 10 vol% regolith at depths between 0.95 and 1 m
Crater case 1	Baseline; 50°N, TI 150 J m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1/2</sup> , albedo 0.25, 0.035 m equilibrium ice depth, $\kappa = 10^{-4}$ m <sup>2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , 1 vol% regolith in restricted thickness
	(1.5 m) of clean ice, paraboloid initial disturbance with depth 5 m and diameter 30 m
Crater case 2	As baseline, but at 35° obliquity (128 pr. $\mu$ m water vapor <sup>a</sup> , 0.011 m equilibrium ice depth)
Crater case 3	As baseline, but at 45° obliquity (94 pr. $\mu$ m water vapor <sup>a</sup> , 0.019 m equilibrium ice depth)
Crater case 4	As baseline, but at 20° obliquity (4 pr. μm water vapor <sup>a</sup> , unstable ice)
Crater case 5	As baseline, but intersecting thick clean ice (> initial crater depth)
Crater case 6	As baseline, but with no clean ice and an initial ice depth of 1.5 m (well below equilibrium) producing a loose cover
Crater case 7	As baseline, but intersecting thick clean ice with 10 vol% regolith
Crater case 8	As baseline, but intersecting thick clean ice with an initial lens of ice-free regolith coating the crater interior (loosely simulates eolian deposition)
Crater case 9	As baseline, but with ice-cemented regolith extending to 1.5 m depth above thick clean ice
Crater case 10	As baseline, but with only pore ice

<sup>a</sup> Chamberlain and Boynton (2007).

In the first group of scenarios we explore the development of scallop-like landforms from minor disturbances to a level, equilibrium landscape. An equilibrium thickness of dry regolith overlies a thick layer of excess ice. The initial disturbances in these scenarios are generated by removal of part of the protective lag layer in order to locally destabilize the surface. This method may not directly correspond to any physical process; the style and nature of realistic initial disturbances is discussed further in Section 5. We examine the effects of varying the size and thickness of this disturbance.

We focus on modeling the evolution and morphology of an archetypal scalloped depression like that in Fig. 1a. Many scallops deviate from this shape, but only the simplest case is examined here. Complex landscapes may develop from mergers and interactions of many scallop-like features (Fig. 1b), but we do not model the development of such a landscape in this paper apart from the inclusion of periodic boundary conditions.

In the second family of scenarios, we examine expansion of a crater cutting into or through an ice-rich layer and consider scenarios that might produce a funnel-like profile. The initial conditions for these scenarios comprise parabolic cavities cut into or through ice-rich layers. For simplicity, we ignore uplifted crater rims and ejecta deposited around the rim. This could thicken the lag and inhibit expansion, but much of the ejecta is likely to be ice with a low regolith content, which will be highly prone to sublimation in this scenario (Dundas and Byrne, 2010), and the non-ice component will probably be prone to eolian erosion. This assumption is questionable in cases where pore ice is dominant, but the same initial geometry is retained to simplify comparisons. Impact heating and melting are not considered; this will drive some sublimation on the crater walls but the heat will be rapidly radiated away. In both families of scenarios we assume that the initial ice depth is at or near the equilibrium depth, unless otherwise noted.

Given the occurrence of mass wasting, there is no true equilibrium or final landform shape. If the model were run indefinitely, the eventual result would be a level surface and an equilibrium ice table, although the relative thicknesses of clean and pore ice would vary. We chose a model run time of 250,000 Mars Years (MY) for all cases, which allows considerable landform development.

# 5. Results

Features resembling scalloped depressions develop spontaneously from initial disturbances (Figs. 7–9). The local disturbance causes sufficient collapse to generate a warm equator-facing slope that is significantly out of equilibrium in the present climate. Ground ice beneath this slope sublimates, causing the slope to both retreat and become shallower. If the underlying ice is very clean, a significant amount of sublimation is required to restore an equilibrium cover. The slope retreats until the combination of sublimation and mass movement lower the slope and thicken the lag, restoring equilibrium. Retreat of the pole-facing scarp is much slower because it is colder, making ice more stable there, but some retreat does occur, driven by mass wasting. The resulting landforms strongly resemble simple scalloped depressions (Figs. 1–4).

Variations of initial conditions have unsurprising effects on the scallop shape (Fig. 9). For a given model timescale, a content of 10 vol% lithic regolith material rather than 1% (case 2, still consistent with very clean ice) causes an equilibrium lag to build up much more quickly and leads to a smaller, shallower depression





**Fig. 8.** (A) South-to-north profile along the axis of the model scalloped depression from case 1 showing the initial and final (250,000 Mars years) position of the surface and the ice table. (B) Profiles of the development of the scallop from case 1, plotted every 10,000 Mars years.



Fig. 7. Growth progression of model scallop (case 1). Panels show the initial disturbance and the developing landform after 20,000, 100,000 and 250,000 Mars Years (MY). North is up. The original surface is at 4 m (arbitrary reference).



Fig. 9. Final landforms produced by scallop cases 1–9 after 250,000 Mars years (see Table 2). For all panels except D, the original surface is at 4 m (arbitrary reference). In panel D, the entire surface has deflated and elevations are relative.

after the same period of evolution. A limited thickness of excess ice also leads to a shallower scallop. Smaller or shallower initial disturbances (e.g., cases 5–6 in Fig. 9) produce smaller scallops, while a wider disturbance (e.g., case 7) yields a wider and deeper landform. Low mass movement diffusivity (e.g., case 8) prevents the depression from widening significantly and leads to a deeper, narrower feature. (Note that since the assumed diffusivities are likely upper bounds, the regolith content can be traded against the mass

movement diffusivity to generate landforms of a certain depth.) An unstable surface (e.g., case 4) produces a strange landform where initial collapse and rapid infill produce a lump of thick regolith that resists further sublimation as the surroundings deflate. The occurrence of a layer with higher regolith content (case 9) produced a small kink in the slope with little effect on the overall morphology.

Several crater cases produce expansion similar to observed landforms (Figs. 10–12). The baseline case 1 (current conditions, crater cutting through an ice layer) initially expands asymmetrically, but ultimately becomes more symmetric as creep becomes more important than sublimation (Figs. 10 and 12). Ice beneath the equator-facing slope is destabilized at all depths in this example (Fig. 11a). Cases 2 and 3 (higher obliquity) are more symmetric initially. All three ultimately produce landforms broadly similar to the observed craters, with bowl-shaped central depressions and wider, shallow-sloping rims. At 20° obliquity (case 4), the equator-facing slope retreats but ice is unstable under the entire



**Fig. 10.** Evolution of crater case 1 showing the initial topography and the expansion after 5000, 20,000 and 100,000 Mars years. The original surface is at 5 m (arbitrary reference).

surface, so the final landform does not have the funnel-shaped form. However, in reality obliquity is not constant over these timescales.

Other perturbations have varied effects. In case 5, a crater cutting into thick ice also expands and sees the upper slopes retreat. The final landform does have a central depression and surrounding shallow apron, although the break in slope is not as well-defined as in cases 1–3. Although ice is destabilized under some slopes, the effect on the landform is counterbalanced by inward mass movement. A thicker pore ice cover (case 9) over deep clean ice produced changes to the crater shape and a smaller expanded lip. Conditions of pore ice with a thick or thin loose, desiccated cover (cases 6 and 10) produced little expansion. Less-clean ice (case 7) gave modest expansion but without well-defined breaks in slope. In case 8, the crater cavity was partially coated with a soil lens of varying thickness (greatest at the crater center). This loosely approximates the results of eolian dust infilling the crater, and produces a distinctly expanded crater with an inner cavity.

### 6. Discussion

#### 6.1. Scalloped depressions

The thermokarst pits produced by our model reproduce the basic morphologic features of martian scalloped depressions. Disruption of an equilibrated surface with excess ice is thus a plausible mechanism for producing such depressions. The initial disturbances that we have used to generate scalloped depressions are arbitrary, but the parameters of the disturbance do have an effect on scallop morphology. These parameters could be tuned to better reproduce the detailed dimensions of real scallops, but because the initial disturbance is arbitrarily imposed this would not be meaningful. It remains to be seen whether realistic disturbances and climate variations produce any characteristic morphologies, and which properties of scallop morphometry are ultimately controlled by properties of the ground properties and climate conditions rather than the triggering instability. The precise evolutionary sequence observed in our model (Fig. 7), with the initial downward collapse followed by retreat of the poleward slope, may also be the result of the imposition of a sudden disturbance. Gradual disturbances could result in different landforms, although the factors driving growth will be similar.



Fig. 11. (A) Initial and final (250,000 Mars years) topographic and ice table profiles for crater case 1. (B) Profiles of the expansion of crater case 1, plotted every 10,000 Mars years. Case 1 begins with a 1.5-m-thick layer of clean ice beneath a 3.5 cm dry lag and above ice-cemented soil.



Fig. 12. Profiles of crater expansion after 5000, 20,000 and 100,000 Mars years for cases 1–9 (see Table 2). Case 10 (ice-cemented soil beneath an equilibrium lag) underwent minimal expansion and is not shown.

More realistic triggers for an initial instability include small impacts and local darkening or erosion due to eolian processes; under some circumstances evolution of thermal contraction cracks might also trigger instabilities, since thermal contraction polygons develop small-scale topography (Sletten et al., 2003) that affects the local ice depth (Mellon et al., 2009). Although dust devil tracks are transient features and very elongated, some locations are especially conducive to their formation and could produce a persistent albedo effect. Dust devil tracks are particularly prominent in the higher mid-latitudes (Whelley and Greeley, 2008). Another possibility is differential changes in the equilibrium depth as the climate changes. In this case, a surface with ice in equilibrium with some initial minor topography might develop instabilities as the equilibrium depth changes differently for different slopes. Lefort et al. (2009) suggested that the initial stages of scallop development are hummocky terrain and subtle scarps, progressing to shallow depressions and eventually scalloped landforms; such a sequence may be consistent with this scenario. A related possibility is that a uniform increase in stability depth could cause local collapse if the upper part of the excess ice layer is heterogeneous. Thermal contraction cracks, in particular, might develop local ice concentrations if frost preferentially forms in cracks (Fisher, 2005), and ice lens formation (Sizemore et al., 2015) is highly dependent on local

soil properties. Local heterogeneities in martian ground ice are observed (Mellon et al., 2009; Dundas et al., 2014), but we have not included them in our model in order to isolate the effects of disturbances. Given any disturbance that causes local destabilization and subsidence, the same basic effects will cause retreat of warm slopes with unstable ice until a new equilibrium is reached, although the scale and style of disturbance could affect the landform scale and details of the morphology.

The modeled scallop evolution largely involves sublimation and retreat of the equator-facing slope, with mass wasting causing the pole-facing scarp to retreat slightly. This style of evolution most resembles the models proposed by Morgenstern et al. (2007), Lefort et al. (2009), although the present model does not include any eolian effects as invoked by Lefort et al. apart from the simplistic imposition of a mantle in crater-expansion case 8. The equatorward-growth model proposed by Ulrich et al. (2010), Séjourné et al. (2011) has scallops forming by retreat and steepening of the pole-facing scarp at high obliquity (45°), when the northfacing slope is the warmest surface. Our model demonstrates retreat of warm slopes, but the retreating slopes shallow over time rather than steepening. The exception is the transient period when the initial disturbance is collapsing, when steep slopes are produced by the floor falling rather than the walls retreating. Additionally, since scallop scarps are typically concave up, retreating slopes should tend to become shallower over time unless the basal slopes have greater stability depths (less stable ice) or systematically lower regolith thicknesses. The former is unlikely since the equatorward-growth hypothesis stipulates that it is the steep slope that is unstable; the latter is unlikely since mass wasting inflow and greater ice loss should make regolith thicknesses at the base of the scarp greater than near the top. Shadowing (not accounted for) will also be maximized at the base of the slope. Although we expect retreating slopes to shallow over time, they can remain significantly steeper than the floor (Fig. 8b), which is observed in many scallops.

Nevertheless, the model uncertainties and parameter space are sufficiently large that we do not rule out the possibility of maintaining a steep scarp while retreating under some conditions, particularly if eolian erosion or CO<sub>2</sub> processes strip away parts of a developing lag. Lower values of diffusivity would also favor maintaining a steep scarp during retreat since the accumulation of extra material at the base would be reduced. In comparison, steep scarps in the south polar "Swiss Cheese" terrain do remain steep while retreating (Byrne and Ingersoll, 2003). Those landforms, however, are not directly analogous, due to the lack of a lag thick enough to slow sublimation. Moreover, the model results of Byrne and Ingersoll (2003) that best reproduced observed wall slopes had albedo decreasing with depth (compensating for shadowing and increasing the retreat rate of the base of the slope), which is not the case for scallops. We note that at the latitudes of scalloped depressions, ice is less stable under current climate conditions than it is at high obliquity (e.g., Chamberlain and Boynton, 2007), which should favor thermokarst feature development in the present climate-it is possible that sublimation is ongoing under many equator-facing slopes. However, low diffusivity could permit slopes to retreat while remaining steep and some pole-facing slopes could have retreated under high-obliquity conditions. Additionally, there may be conditions where processes beyond the scope of this model (like eolian erosion or CO<sub>2</sub>-driven activity) are important, and the evolution of slopes under those conditions will be different.

Séjourné et al. (2011) noted a systematic variation in thermal contraction polygon morphology within scallops. They noted low-centered polygons (attributed to ice table aggradation) on pole-facing scarps, transitioning to high-centered polygons (attributed to sublimation) further from the scarp. A retreating equatorfacing slope is consistent with this interpretation, as the ice table may aggrade on cold pole-facing slopes while polygons degrade on the retreating slope. Séjourné et al. (2011) did not note any transition in polygon size, while our model indicates significant variation in ice table depth (Fig. 8a). On a given slope polygon dimensions should be larger for a deeper ice table (Mellon et al., 2008a), but small polygons might remain visible as the ice retreats, especially if the lag eventually becomes thick enough to inhibit cracking. Haltigin et al. (2014) reported that at the landscape scale, polygons in Utopia are systematically smaller in lower-elevation areas, and also noted dramatically higher polygon densities at the base of scallop scarps. One possible factor is that the hectometer-scale inter-scallop polygons of Utopia Planitia may not be of seasonal thermal contraction origin, but instead relate to deformation of a thin surface layer (Yoshikawa, 2003), which could equate to the ice-rich surface unit inferred by Stuurman et al. (2014).

#### 6.2. Crater expansion

Model results also indicate that sublimation of excess ice is a plausible way to produce expanded, funnel-shaped craters. Matches to the observed morphology, with a distinct break in

slope, occurred when a crater cut entirely through a layer of excess ice. Various forms of expansion occurred for other stratigraphic columns that include excess ice, even without the occurrence of distinct layering, although the breaks in slope were less distinct. The observed breaks in slope are subtle (Fig. 6), and therefore, it would be premature to conclude that they indicate the base of an excess ice layer. The alternative scenarios with thick excess ice are consistent with observations, as they do produce a broad depression around a deeper central pit. In these cases, rapid accumulation of a lag near the bottom of a crater halts expansion while the upper walls continue to retreat since mass movement keeps the ice slightly unstable. Case 8 (with a lens of deposited sediment) could explain the observed transition to a fine-grained texture in the inner depression (Fig. 5). Those cases are also consistent with the greater expansion of larger craters, whereas a finite layer thickness might vield comparable expansion regardless of crater size. depending on the importance of mass wasting.

It is clear that crater expansion is a likely consequence of impacts into targets with substantial volumes of shallow excess ice, and therefore should be expected to occur on Mars. At high latitudes Mars has few preserved small impact craters, and thermokarstic growth may contribute to crater erasure. We note that craters in a target that is undoubtedly very clean ice (the north polar cap) exhibit some signs of wall retreat by ablation (Byrne et al., 2010), but are primarily erased by ice accumulation in the interior (Banks et al., 2010). However, at lower latitudes, higher temperatures will favor sublimation rather than frost accumulation, so infilling will be primarily by atmospheric dust and may be substantially slower.

Although initial crater expansion is asymmetric in the present climate, it becomes more symmetric over time. How does this fit with the poleward growth that appears to occur in scallops? The reason is likely to be the relative importance of sublimation and mass movement. The early crater slopes are steep, so (for the chosen value of diffusivity) mass movement is relatively more important for the craters. Additionally, the lower equilibrium depth used for the crater runs means that sublimation alone will become less important early, making further sublimation dependent on the effects of mass movement on the lag. Alternatively, early asymmetry in the model was less pronounced at higher obliquity, and in fact was slightly biased equatorward at 45° obliquity. This temperature distribution is consistent with the high summer temperatures of pole-facing slopes at high obliquity noted by Kreslavsky et al. (2008). Either effect (or both) may be involved in the relative symmetry of many martian expanded craters, and some amount of eolian erosion may influence their shapes as well. Additionally, inclusion of ejecta would introduce a slight equator-facing slope to the surface adjacent to the pole-facing crater wall. This would reduce the stability of ice there, although the effect may be negated by the thickening of the lag due to ejecta deposition. We note that some scalloped depressions could initiate as impact craters under conditions where sublimation is extensive enough to completely degrade the original crater.

#### 6.3. Implications for martian ground ice

A fundamental point (noted by previous studies of scalloped depressions, but highlighted by this model) is that generation of sublimation thermokarst pits with depths of many meters suggests that excess ice thicknesses at least this large previously existed. Furthermore, such ice is likely to remain in adjacent areas that have not undergone thermokarst collapse, or those areas should also be depressed. Consistent with this idea, SHARAD radar results suggest tens of meters of clean ice in the shallow subsurface in Arcadia Planitia, not far from the location of many expanded craters (Plaut et al., 2009; Bramson et al., 2014). Subsurface interfaces

indicating thick near-surface clean ice are also detected in Utopia Planitia in the region of scalloped depressions (Stuurman et al., 2014). SHARAD can detect ice-rich layers only when thicker than  $\sim 10-20$  m over significant areas (Seu et al., 2007), consistent with our interpretations of the landforms. Additionally, although Utopia Planitia and the southern highlands are not favorable for detection of fresh impacts, new craters exposing clean ice have been observed near expanded craters in and around Arcadia Planitia (Dundas et al., 2014). Loss of ice that fills the pores of a selfsupporting regolith will not cause collapse. This is an assumption of the model rather than a result but it is well-grounded in phys-

of the model rather than a result, but it is well-grounded in physical expectations—by definition, vapor-deposited pore ice occurs in the interstices of a regolith that previously supported itself without ice infill. The bulk ice content of the excess ice layer is uncertain and probably varies; we used a very high ice content (99 vol%, similar to that observed in a local deposit at the Phoenix landing site), and still produced shallow scallops. However, somewhat lower concentrations are plausible, because we used a diffusivity value that is likely an upper limit, thereby allowing a lag to accumulate at the bottom of the scallop by mass movement.

The implication that significant excess ice remains today could be avoided if the thickness or regolith content of clean ice were spatially heterogeneous. It is unlikely, however, that the excess ice originally occurred in pockets that mirrored the shapes expected to be produced by sublimation. Such pockets could occur if snow infilled preexisting thermokarst depressions, but that simply moves the issue back by a generation. Alternatively, scalloped depressions could be initiated by local collapse of a thin or dirty layer of excess ice and develop further by preferential eolian erosion, even after complete removal of ice from the region. This possibility is not included in our current model and we cannot rule it out. There are few bedforms in Utopia to indicate significant eolian transport, but dust devil tracks are common. However, it is not obvious how such a scenario would produce crater expansion, and HiRISE images indicate that small craters and other depressions on Mars are usually sites of local eolian deposition rather than erosion.

Excess ice is geographically widespread at middle and high latitudes (e.g., Boynton et al., 2002; Feldman et al., 2004; Mouginot et al., 2010; Dundas et al., 2014). However, the ground ice concentration and thickness probably varies at regional and local scales, in addition to latitudinally. While ice is stable at high latitudes under most orbital conditions and centimeter-scale thin lags can prevent sublimation there, it is often unstable or barely stable in the midlatitudes (Mellon and Jakosky, 1995; Chamberlain and Boynton, 2007). Hence, crater expansion and other thermokarstic effects should be more substantial in the mid-latitudes, given appropriate triggers. Therefore, we should expect thermokarst landforms to be largest and most abundant in the mid-latitudes, but only where there are sufficient amounts of excess ice. The optimal locations will be those where ice is unstable often enough to form thermokarst features but not so unstable that it is removed completely. Regions of scallops and abundant expanded craters may highlight local concentrations of thick clean ice, consistent with radar detections of subsurface interfaces. Those concentrations (e.g., Bramson et al., 2014; Stuurman et al., 2014) are consistent with the midlatitudes making a significant contribution to the global water inventory (c.f. Carr and Head, 2015). Sublimation landforms might also occur on debris-covered glaciers (e.g., Holt et al., 2008), but could be suppressed if the debris lag is thick enough. If ice becomes unstable under most of the surface, discrete landforms are unlikely to form.

The origin of thick excess ice on Mars remains incompletely understood. Vapor diffusion is expected to allow ice to come and go in the pore space of regolith (e.g., Mellon and Jakosky, 1993, 1995; Hudson et al., 2009). However, this is expected to produce mainly pore ice, and only in the relatively shallow subsurface. On Earth, most forms of excess ice result from migration and freezing of liquid water (e.g., French, 2007), and similar processes have been proposed for Mars (e.g., Soare et al., 2015). However, Mars is a cold and dry planet, where liquid water is uncommon, and a variety of processes involving little or no liquid have been proposed. Production of ice in excess of the natural pore space could result from thermal cycling coupled with vapor diffusion (Fisher, 2005). Alternatively, ice lens growth could result from the occurrence of thin liquid films at sub-freezing temperatures (Mellon et al., 2009; Sizemore et al., 2015). A final possibility is the deposition of snow or ice-dust mantles under past climate conditions, preserved to the present by thin lag deposits (e.g., Mustard et al., 2001).

The settings of martian thermokarst landforms provide some insights into the origins of local excess ice concentrations. In some cases expanded craters are confined to high-standing material like the ejecta of older craters (Viola et al., 2015). This confined occurrence could be explained by local preservation of an ice-rich layer beneath ejecta (as suggested for pedestal craters (e.g., Kadish et al., 2009), but expanded craters also occur within material filling the older craters and are absent in some low-lying parts of the ejecta. Therefore, at least some of the excess ice postdates the crater, so ancient frozen floodwaters or ice sheets preserved under the ejecta, if any, cannot be the only source. More likely candidates are ice lensing or deposition of ice-rich mantling deposits. The enhanced vapor-diffusion model suggested by Fisher (2005) is unlikely to be the main source of thick excess ice, since >10 Myr was required to generate even 85 vol% ice in the upper two meters of regolith. Recent modeling indicates that ice lensing can effectively generate excess ice on Mars and is strongly dependent on soil properties (Sizemore et al., 2015). This is consistent with confinement to particular surface units, although it is not clear whether crater ejecta would be particularly favorable. Substantial volumes of shallow excess ice could accumulate by this process in as little as  $10^2 - 10^5$  yr, but the amount may be highly variable, depending on regolith properties and the rate of supply from atmospheric water vapor (Sizemore et al., 2015). The latter is likely to vary significantly under different orbital conditions. Hence, it is likely that this process operates on Mars, but not certain whether it can produce the local thicknesses suggested by thermokarst features.

An origin from an ice-rich mantling deposit of variable thickness is consistent with confinement of expanded craters to highstanding areas (Fig. 5d), and the remains of a mantle might comprise the bulk mass of isolated knobs where expansion is observed. Such a deposit has also been invoked by some workers for the host material of scalloped terrain (e.g., Morgenstern et al., 2007; Lefort et al., 2009, 2010; Zanetti et al., 2010), which appears to be a surface-draping unit (e.g., Fig. 8a of Zanetti et al., 2010). Modeling of ice deposition at high obliquity (e.g., Madeleine et al., 2009) is consistent with the location of radar reflectors suggesting thick ice (Bramson et al., 2014; Stuurman et al., 2014). However, it is not clear why a mantling deposit would show some correspondence with the ejecta of older craters, as suggested by some expanded craters. A second issue is the age of a mantle deposit. Estimates of the age of the most recent mantling include ~0.1 Ma (Mustard et al., 2001), 2.1–0.4 Ma (Head et al., 2003), and either  $\sim$ 2.1 or  $\sim$ 5 Ma (Schon et al., 2012). Very young mantles would likely postdate the fields of secondary craters that have undergone expansion (Fig. 5e; Viola et al., 2015) and are inconsistent with widespread boulders on the surface. However, it is likely that recent mantling is part of a long and varied history of deposition and degradation. Kadish and Head (2014) suggest recurring deposition of mid-latitude ice over the last 200 Ma and likely longer, and several workers (Skinner et al., 2012; Soare et al., 2012) propose Mid-Amazonian deposition of widespread ice-rich

loess. Viola et al. (2015) suggest that expanded secondary craters in Arcadia Planitia are associated with primary impacts dating with ages of tens of Ma, implying long-term persistence of ice. The current extent of a postulated remnant icy mantle depends on the assumed emplacement time (Schorghofer and Forget, 2012), while the time required for impacts to scatter boulders across the surface is not known and should depend on the mantle thickness. If the excess ice producing thermokarst features is the product of atmospheric deposition and mantling, then a mantle age of at least tens of Myr, coupled with slow and incomplete degradation, is favored at those locations. Thorough regional studies and morphological analyses as well as landed science will be needed to fully understand the implications of thermokarst landforms for the origins and history of ice.

#### 6.4. Sublimation thermokarst on Mars

The modeling above predicts the morphologies produced by sublimation and mass movement processes on Mars. The results demonstrate that such a model reproduces the major characteristics of observed landforms on Mars. Although it is not possible to rule out proposed past conditions of widespread melting, melting does not need to be invoked.

As noted above, our model makes several simplifications that must be understood when considering the implications for martian landforms. The real history of thermokarst morphologic development on Mars is undoubtedly more complex than modeled in this paper, which assumes a simple ice table structure and steady-state climate. Heterogeneities in the ice will affect the morphology. We find that substantial sublimation landforms can develop on timescales on the order of  $10^4 - 10^5$  yr. Since our landscape diffusivity is likely an upper bound, and our triggering disturbances are abruptly imposed, real features probably develop more slowly. The tens-of-Ma age of expanded secondary craters suggested by Viola et al. (2015) is consistent with this idea, since mass movement may play a role in thinning lag deposits and advancing sublimation. Additionally, climate variations and mantling by surface ice deposits (e.g., Madeleine et al., 2009) could suspend surface evolution by sublimation. Better information about landscape diffusivity and/or the age of martian features is needed to improve quantitative interpretations of landform morphology. The vertical relief produced under conditions where sublimation is dominant will likely be greater than we have modeled for lower diffusivities, since mass wasting will contribute less to lag development and infill (e.g., Fig. 8b; this effect is seen in comparing Fig. 9a and h). This is consistent with the substantial depths of some scalloped depressions (e.g., Lefort et al., 2009; Zanetti et al., 2010). The diffusion coefficient for water vapor through the regolith may also be somewhat different than our assumption, which would affect the rate of landform growth.

After a substantial lag has developed, landforms might survive with little modification for much longer periods, depending on the rate of mass movement. Apart from the effects of mass movement, sublimation landforms will equilibrate with the deepest ice and least-stable conditions that they experience for a significant length of time. In the mid-latitudes ice may become completely unstable at low obliquity, but obliquities below 20° have occurred only for short periods over the last 5 Ma. It is interesting to note that, although high obliquities cause stable ice to become more geographically widespread, at high latitudes the stability depth can actually become greater (Chamberlain and Boynton, 2007). It is not necessarily the case, however, that landforms reflect only the least stable conditions that they have experienced, because growth is history-dependent. It remains to be seen what specific aspects of thermokarst morphology can be linked to details of their history.



**Fig. 13.** Non-pole-oriented elliptical pits and scalloped depressions north of Alba Mons. Unlike scalloped depressions in Utopia and the Amphitrites/Peneus region, these depressions often have focal knobs or pits. (HiRISE image PSP\_005967\_2310; north is up and light is from the lower left.)

Scallop-like features without the classic poleward orientation are seen elsewhere on Mars (Fig. 13). One possibility is that this reflects primarily eolian erosion of the regolith on the steep slope, as suggested by Lefort et al. (2009) for pole-oriented scallops. Haltigin et al. (2014) suggested that wind could enhance sublimation on pole-facing scarps, but for thick lags the rate of sublimation will be controlled by diffusion. For very shallow ice, however, free and forced convection of water vapor should control sublimation rates. Wind-driven forced convection in particular should be affected by the orientation of the surface relative to the wind, but this effect will only be important while the ice is very shallow. Initial experiments (Dundas, 2015) suggest that this effect is weak. These depressions north of Alba Mons (Fig. 13) also differ from those in Utopia Planitia and the Amphitrites/Peneus region south of Hellas in that they commonly have central knobs or pits, which might indicate a different formative mechanism. However, they have not yet been studied in sufficient detail to assess their origin.

It is intriguing to consider the ultimate fate of thermokarst landforms upon removal of all excess ice. It might be supposed that no trace of the landform would remain, but inward mass movement and trapping of eolian material while the surface was depressed could result in remnant hummocks serving as markers for vanished thermokarst features. This effect is somewhat analogous to the formation of thermokarst mounds from the residual material during degradation of terrestrial ice-wedge networks (e.g., French, 2007), but could develop from thermokarst landforms in a uniform surface. Fig. 9d shows an evolutionary step in this direction where a mound has developed on the floor of a sublimation pit. This morphology has an intriguing similarity to moundin-pit features formed in ice-rich targets in the mid-latitudes (Mangold, 2003; Head and Weiss, 2014), and could also be involved in the formation of mid-latitude circular mounds (c.f. Dundas et al., 2008; Dundas and McEwen, 2010) and isolated hummocks on the northern plains. More work is needed to better understand this evolution, particularly since we have used a likely overestimate for the mass-movement diffusivity, but gradual eolian infill could produce similar effects.

The basic similarity of model results and observed landforms provides a major step toward removing the uncertainties in the sublimation model noted by Soare et al. (2011). First, although we do not address the lack of a terrestrial analog landscape, sublimation-dominated environments are rare on Earth and it is necessary to theoretically consider the likely nature of such a surface. However, such conditions are expected on current and recent Mars. We demonstrate that scallop-like landforms are an expected result of disturbances in this environment. Although the Antarctic Dry Valleys are in many ways an excellent analog, the high latitude reduces the directionality of insolation, and the relative importance of sublimation and mass-movement processes could be different. Soare et al. (2011) also noted that the grain size of lags in Utopia may be very different from that in the Antarctic Dry Valleys. Our model makes no assumption about grain sizes except to the extent that they affect the diffusion coefficient, which is based on Mars regolith analogs. Reasonable differences would only affect the rate of evolution, not the general morphology. We also find that the slow evolution of scalloped landforms means that the surface is always covered by a lag, and the soil-over-ice stratigraphy is never exposed in the walls, an objection raised by Soare et al. (2011). The origin of ridges within the scallops remains uncertain, but plausible explanations consistent with sublimation include layering in the substrate and climate-driven variations in the rate of slope retreat. In agreement with Soare et al. (2011), the ice thicknesses required to produce the largest scallops are significant and unlikely to be due to vapor diffusion alone, particularly since excess ice is required.

#### 7. Conclusions

A model of landscape evolution including sublimation and creep of surface material reproduces the basic morphology of scalloped depressions on Mars, starting from initial disturbances over a very ice-rich subsurface. In the model, scallops grow by collapse and retreat of the warm slope, which also shallows over time. The model also demonstrates crater expansion by sublimation in a manner broadly similar to features observed on the northern plains. This indicates that both types of features are consistent with formation by sublimation thermokarst processes. The similarity of modeled and observed landforms supports sublimation models and suggests that significant amounts of excess ice (a prerequisite for sublimation thermokarst) remains in the subsurface near those landforms. Moreover, development of such sublimation landforms is an expected result of the current environment on Mars if excess ice is present and disturbed from equilibrium. Landscapes and processes involving substantial melting have been proposed as analogs and cannot be ruled out, but there is no need to invoke such conditions, since sublimation features are an expected consequence of the recent climate. Given the considerable thicknesses implied, the origin of regional concentrations of ice may be atmospheric deposition, but it remains to be seen whether survival of ice in such a scenario is quantitatively consistent with timescales required to garden boulders to the surface. An alternative possibility is growth of ice lenses in certain materials, and in some cases older ice sheets may be preserved beneath crater ejecta. Detailed regional studies will be needed to understand the origins of excess ice.

# Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter HiR-ISE project and Mars Fundamental Research Program grant NNH13AV59I. We thank Ken Herkenhoff and Justin Hagerty for helpful comments on an early draft. Two reviewers provided useful comments.

#### References

Aharonson, O., Schorghofer, N., 2006. Subsurface ice on Mars with rough topography. J. Geophys. Res. 111, E11007. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ 2005JE002636.

- Anderson, D., Gatto, L.W., Ugolini, F., 1973. An examination of Mariner 6 and 7 imagery for evidence of permafrost terrain on Mars. In: Sanger, F.G. (Ed.), Permafrost: Second International Conference pp. 499–508.
- Banks, M.E. et al., 2010. Crater population and resurfacing of the martian north polar layered deposits. J. Geophys. Res. 115, E08006. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JE003523.
- Boynton, W.V. et al., 2002. Distribution of hydrogen in the near surface of Mars: Evidence for subsurface ice deposits. Science 297, 81–85.
- Bramson, A.M. et al., 2014. Thick, excess water ice in Arcadia Planitia, Mars. Lunar Planet. Sci. XLV. Abstract #2120.
- Byrne, S., Ingersoll, A.P., 2003. A sublimation model for martian south polar ice features. Science 299, 1051–1053.
- Byrne, S. et al., 2009. Distribution of mid-latitude ground ice on Mars from new impact craters. Science 325, 1674–1676.
- Byrne, S. et al., 2010. North polar ice accumulation modeled from impact crater statistics. Lunar Planet. Sci. 41. Abstract #1697.
- Carr, M.H., Head, J.W., 2015. Martian surface/near-surface water inventory: Sources, sinks, and changes with time. Geophys. Res. Lett. 42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ 2014GL062464.
- Chamberlain, M.A., 2006. Response of martian Ground Ice to Orbit-Induced Climate Change, Ph.D. Dissertation. The University of Arizona. 194 pages.
- Chamberlain, M.A., Boynton, W.V., 2007. Response of martian ground ice to orbitinduced climate change. J. Geophys. Res. 112, E06009. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2006/E002801.
- Costard, F.M., Kargel, J.S., 1995. Outwash plains and thermokarst on Mars. Icarus 114, 93–112.
- Cull, S.C. et al., 2010. Compositions of subsurface ices at the Mars Phoenix landing site. Geophys. Res. Lett. 37, L24203. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045372.
- Dundas, C.M., 2015. Modeling martian sublimation thermokarst landforms: Investigation of asymmetry, layering, and temporal variations. Lunar Planet. Sci. Abstract #2334.
- Dundas, C.M., Byrne, S., 2010. Modeling sublimation of ice exposed by new impacts in the martian mid-latitudes. Icarus 206, 716–728.
- Dundas, C.M., McEwen, A.S., 2010. An assessment of evidence for pingos on Mars using HiRISE. Icarus 205, 244–258.
- Dundas, C.M. et al., 2008. HiRISE observations of fractured mounds: Possible martian pingos. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35, L04201. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ 2007GL031798.
- Dundas, C.M. et al., 2014. HiRISE observations of new impact craters exposing martian ground ice. J. Geophys. Res. 119. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ 2013JE004482.
- Fassett, C.I., Thomson, B.J., 2014. Crater degradation on the lunar maria: Topographic diffusion and the rate of erosion on the Moon. J. Geophys. Res. 119, 2255–2271. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014JE004698.
- Feldman, W.C. et al., 2004. Global distribution of near-surface hydrogen on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 109, E09006. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003JE002160.
- Fisher, D.A., 2005. A process to make massive ice in the martian regolith using longterm diffusion and thermal cracking. Icarus 179, 387–397.
- Forsberg-Taylor, N.K., Howard, A.D., Craddock, R.A., 2004. Crater degradation in the martian highlands: Morphometric analysis of the Sinus Sabaeus region and simulation modeling suggest fluvial processes. J. Geophys. Res. 109, E05002. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JE002242.
- French, H.M., 2007. The Periglacial Environment, third ed. John Wiley & Sons, Chippenham.
- Haberle, R.M., Jakosky, B.M., 1991. Atmospheric effects on the remote determination of thermal inertia on Mars. Icarus 90, 187–204.
- Haberle, R.M. et al., 2008. The effect of ground ice on the martian seasonal CO<sub>2</sub> cycle. Planet. Space Sci. 56, 251–255.
- Haltigin, T.W. et al., 2014. Co-evolution of polygonal and scalloped terrains, southwestern Utopia Planitia, Mars. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 387, 44–54.
- Hanks, T.C. 2000. The age of scarplike landforms from diffusion-equation analysis. In: Noller, J.S., Sowers, J.M., Lettis, W.R. (Eds.), Quaternary Geochronology: Methods and Applications, AGU Ref. Shelf, 4. Washington, DC, pp. 313–338. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/RF004p0313.
- Head, J.W., Weiss, D.K., 2014. Preservation of ancient ice at Pavonis and Arsia Mons: Tropical mountain glacier deposits on Mars. Planet. Space Sci. 103, 331–338.
- Head, J.W. et al., 2003. Recent ice ages on Mars. Nature 426, 797-802.
- Holt, J.W.11 coauthors, 2008. Radar sounding evidence for buried glaciers in the southern mid-latitudes of Mars. Science 322, 1235–1238.
- Howard, A.D., 2007. Simulating the development of martian highland landscapes through the interaction of impact cratering, fluvial erosion, and variable hydrological forcing. Geomorphology 91, 332–363.
- Hudson, T.L., Aharonson, O., 2008. Diffusion barriers at mars surface conditions: Salt crusts, particle size mixtures, and dust. J. Geophys. Res. 113, E09008. http://dx. doi.org/10.1029/2007JE003026.
- Hudson, T.L. et al., 2007. Water vapor diffusion in Mars subsurface environments. J. Geophys. Res. 112, E05016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JE002815.
- Hudson, T.L., Aharonson, O., Schorghofer, N., 2009. Laboratory experiments and models of diffusive emplacement of ground ice on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 114, E01002. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JE003149.
- Kadish, S.J., Head, J.W., 2014. The ages of pedestal craters on Mars: Evidence for a late-Amazonian extended period of episodic emplacement of decameters-thick mid-latitude ice deposits. Planet. Space Sci. 91, 91–100.
- Kadish, S.J., Barlow, N.G., Head, J.W., 2009. Latitude dependence of martian pedestal craters: Evidence for a sublimation-driven formation mechanism. J. Geophys. Res. 114, E10001. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JE003318.

Kieffer, H.H. et al., 1977. Thermal and albedo mapping of Mars during the Viking Primary Mission. J. Geophys. Res. 82, 4249–4291.

- Korteniemi, J., Kreslavsky, M.A., 2013. Patterned ground in martian high northern latitudes: Morphology and age constraints. Icarus 225, 960–970.
- Kreslavsky, M.A., Head, J.W., 2000. Kilometer-scale roughness of Mars: Results from MOLA data analysis. J. Geophys. Res. 105, 26695–26711.
- Kreslavsky, M.A., Head, J.W., Marchant, D.R., 2008. Periods of active permafrost layer formation during the geological history of Mars: Implications for circum-polar and mid-latitude surface processes. Planet. Space Sci. 56, 289–302.
- Lefort, A. et al., 2009. Observations of periglacial landforms in Utopia Planitia with the High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE). J. Geophys. Res. 114, E04005. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JE003264.
- Lefort, A., Russell, P.S., Thomas, N., 2010. Scalloped terrains in the Peneus and Amphitrites Paterae region of Mars as observed by HiRISE. Icarus 205, 259–268. Leighton, R.B., Murray, B.C., 1966. Behavior of carbon dioxide and other volatiles on
- Mars. Science 153, 136–144. Madeleine, J.-B. et al., 2009. Amazonian northern mid-latitude glaciation on Mars: A
- proposed climate scenario. Icarus 203, 390–405. Mangold, N., 2003. Geomorphic analysis of lobate debris aprons on Mars at Mars Orbiter Camera scale: Evidence for ice sublimation initiated by fractures. J.
- Geophys. Res. 108. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JE001885. Martin, Y., Church, M., 1997. Diffusion in landscape development models: On the
- nature of basic transport relations. Earth Surf. Proc. Land. 22, 273–279. Mellon, M.T., Jakosky, B.M., 1993. Geographic variations in the thermal and diffusive
- stability of ice on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 98, 3345–3364. Mellon, M.T., Jakosky, B.M., 1995. The distribution and behavior of martian ground
- ice during past and present epochs. J. Geophys. Res. 100, 11781-11799. Mellon, M.T., Phillips, R.J., 2001. Recent gullies on Mars and the source of liquid
- water. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 23165–23180.
- Mellon, M.T., Feldman, W.C., Prettyman, T.H., 2004. The presence and stability of ground ice in the southern hemisphere of Mars. Icarus 169, 324–340.
- Mellon, M.T. et al., 2008a. Periglacial landforms at the Phoenix landing site and the northern plains of Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 113, E00A23. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2007JE003039.
- Mellon, M.T. et al., 2008b. A prelanding assessment of the ice table depth and ground ice characteristics in Martian permafrost at the Phoenix landing site. J. Geophys. Res. 113, E00A25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JE003067.
- Mellon, M.T. et al., 2009. Ground ice at the Phoenix landing site: Stability state and origin. J. Geophys. Res. 114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JE003417.
- Melosh, H.J., 1989. Impact Cratering: A Geologic Process. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Moore, J.J. et al., 1987. Physical properties of the surface materials at the Viking landing sites on Mars, U.S. Geological Survey. Prof. Paper 1389.
- Morgenstern, A. et al., 2007. Deposition and degradation of a volatile-rich layer in Utopia Planitia and implications for climate history on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 112, E06010. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JE002869.
- Mouginot, J. et al., 2010. The 3–5 MHz global reflectivity map of Mars by MARSIS/ Mars Express: Implications for the current inventory of subsurface H<sub>2</sub>O. Icarus 210, 612–625.
- Mustard, J.F., Cooper, C.D., Rifkin, M.K., 2001. Evidence for recent climate change on Mars from identification of youthful near-surface ground ice. Nature 412, 411–414.
- Paterson, W.S.B., 1994. The Physics of Glaciers, third ed. Butterworth-Heinemann, Birlington.
- Pelletier, J.D., 2004. How do spiral troughs form on Mars? Geology 32, 365-367.
- Perron, J.T., 2011. Numerical methods for nonlinear hillslope transport laws. J. Geophys. Res. 116, F02021. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010JF001801.
- Plaut, J.J. et al., 2009. A widespread radar-transparent layer detected by SHARAD in Arcadia Plantiia Mars Lunar Planet Sci XI. Abstract #2312
- Plescia, J.B., 2003. Amphitrites-Peneus paterae/malea planum geology. Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. XXXIV. Abstract #1478.
- Press, W.H. et al., 2007. Numerical Recipes. third ed. Cambridge University Press.
- Roering, J.J., Kirchner, J.W., Dietrich, W.E., 1999. Evidence for nonlinear, diffusive sediment transport on hillslopes and implications for landscape morphology. Water Resour. Res. 35 (3), 853–870.
- Schon, S.C., Head, J.W., Fassett, C.I., 2012. Recent high-latitude resurfacing by a climate-related latitude-dependent mantle: Constraining age of emplacement from counts of small craters. Planet. Space Sci. 69, 49–61.

Schorghofer, N., 2007. Dynamics of ice ages on Mars. Nature 449, 192-195.

- Schorghofer, N., 2010. Fast numerical method for growth and retreat of subsurface ice on Mars. Icarus 208, 598–607.
- Schorghofer, N., Aharonson, O., 2005. Stability and exchange of subsurface ice on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 110, E05003. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JE002350.
- Schorghofer, N., Edgett, K.S., 2006. Seasonal surface frost at low latitudes on Mars. Icarus 180, 321–334.
- Schorghofer, N., Forget, F., 2012. History and anatomy of subsurface ice on Mars. Icarus 220, 1112–1120.
- Séjourné, A. et al., 2011. Scalloped depressions and small-sized polygons in western Utopia Planitia, Mars: A new formation hypothesis. Planet. Space Sci. 59, 412–422.
- Séjourné, A. et al., 2012. Evidence of an eolian ice-rich and stratified permafrost in Utopia Planitia, Mars. Planet. Space Sci. 60, 248–254.
- Seu, R. et al., 2007. SHARAD sounding radar on the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter. J. Geophys. Res. 112. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JE002745.
- Sharp, R.P., 1973. Mars: Fretted and chaotic terrains. J. Geophys. Res. 78, 4073– 4083.
- Shaw, A. et al., 2009. Phoenix soil physical properties investigation. J. Geophys. Res. 114, E00E05, doi:10.1029/2009JE003455.
- Sizemore, H.G., Zent, A.P., Rempel, A.W., 2015. Initiation and growth of martian ice lenses. Icarus 251, 191–210.
- Skinner, J.A., Tanaka, K.L., Platz, T., 2012. Widespread loess-like deposit in the martian northern lowlands identifies Middle Amazonian climate change. Geology 40, 1127–1130.
- Sletten, R.S., Hallet, B., Fletcher, R.C., 2003. Resurfacing time of terrestrial surfaces by the formation and maturation of polygonal patterned ground. J. Geophys. Res. 108. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JE001914.
- Smith, P.H. et al., 2009. H<sub>2</sub>O at the Phoenix landing site. Science 325, 58-61.
- Soare, R.J., Osinski, G.R., Roehm, C.L., 2008. Thermokarst lakes and ponds on Mars in the very recent (late Amazonian) past. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 272, 382–393.
- Soare, R.J. et al., 2007. Thermokarst processes and the origin of crater-rim gullies in Utopia and western Elysium Planitia. Icarus 191, 95–112.
- Soare, R.J. et al., 2011. The Tuktoyaktuk Coastlands of northern Canada: A possible "wet" periglacial analog of Utopia Planitia, Mars. Geol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Pap. 483, 203–218.
- Soare, R.J. et al., 2012. Climate change and the origin of ice-rich permafrost in mid-Utopia Planitia, Mars. Abstract from the Mars Recent Climate Change Workshop, May 15–17, 2012.
- Soare, R.J. et al., 2015. Volcanic terrain and the possible periglacial formation of "excess ice" at the mid-latitudes of Utopia Planitia, Mars. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 423, 182–192.
- Squyres, S.W., Carr, M.H., 1986. Geomorphic evidence for the distribution of ground ice on Mars. Science 231, 249–252.
- Stuurman, C.M. et al., 2014. SHARAD reflectors in Utopia Planitia, Mars consistent with widespread, thick subsurface ice. Lunar Planet. Sci. XLV. Abstract #2262.

Tamppari, L.K., Lemmon, M.T., 2014. Water vapor profile above Phoenix. In: 5th Int. Workshop on the Mars Atmosphere. Abstract #2301.

- Ulrich, M. et al., 2010. Thermokarst in Siberian ice-rich permafrost: Comparison to asymmetric scalloped depressions on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 115, E10009. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010/E003640.
- van Everdingen, R., (Ed.), 1998. Multi-Language Glossary of Permafrost and Related Ground-Ice Terms. National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, CO. available online at <<u>http://nsidc.org/fgdc/glossary</u>/> (revised May 2005).
- Viola, D. et al., 2015. Expanded secondary craters in the Arcadia Planitia region, Mars: Evidence for tens of Myr-old shallow subsurface ice. Icarus 248, 190–204.
- Whelley, P.L., Greeley, R., 2008. The distribution of dust devil activity on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 113, E07002. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JE002966.
- Yoshikawa, K., 2003. Origin of the polygons and the thickness of Vastitas Borealis Formation in Western Utopia Planitia on Mars. Geophys. Res. Lett. 30. http://dx. doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017165.
- Zanetti, M. et al., 2010. Distribution and evolution of scalloped terrain in the southern hemisphere, Mars. Icarus 206, 691–706.
- Zent, A.P. et al., 2010. Initial results from the thermal and electrical conductivity probe (TECP) on Phoenix. J. Geophys. Res. 115, E00E14, doi:10.1029/2009JE003420.